

# ATTACHMENT Item 15.1(a)

Proposed Draft Long Vehicle (Extra Mass) Policy

**ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING 18 FEBRUARY 2021** 



# Policy for Assessing Applications to Operate Restricted Access Vehicles (RAV) on Shire of Perenjori Roads

# **Contents**

Background	4
Policy Objective	4
Statutory Authority	
Definitions	4
Policy Statement	5
Related Policies	5
RAV Networks	6
Assessing a RAV Access Application	7
Assessing Support	7
Preliminary Assessment	8
Operating Conditions	8
Restricted Local Access Period Permit	9
Timeframes	10
Authority	10
Reference Material	10

**Appendix A** – Flowchart

**Appendix B** – Road Hierarchy Definitions

**Appendix C** – Low Volume Roads Minimum widths

Policy Number:
Date of Adoption:
Council Resolution:
Schedule for Review:

#### **Background**

A Restricted Access Vehicle (RAV) is a vehicle that exceeds a statutory mass or dimension limit as prescribed in the Road Traffic (Vehicles) Regulations 2014. RAVs can only operate on roads approved by Main Roads, under either an Order (Notice) or a permit. A Notice is an instrument of approval that is published in the Government Gazette and grants access for certain types of RAVs on defined networks of roads. All RAVs require a permit unless they are authorised under a Notice.

There are three types of restricted networks; the Standard RAV Network, Concessional Loading RAV Network and the Tri – drive Restricted Access Vehicle Network.

Operators may apply to add or amend a RAV route. It is Main Roads WA policy to consult with Shire of Perenjori before adding or amending a RAV route. The Shire of Perenjori may request that Main Roads WA consider certain conditions for the RAV route. Once the Shire of Perenjori has provided their conditional approval for a RAV route, Main Roads WA conducts a route assessment and prepares a summary report. The Main Roads Heavy Vehicle Services (HVS) considers the application before the RAV Network is updated.

# **Policy Objective**

The objective of this policy is to provide guidance to Shire of Perenjori when assessing an application to add or amend a road on the Restricted Access Vehicle network.

# **Statutory Authority**

Road Traffic (Vehicles) Regulations 2014

Road Traffic (Vehicles) Act 2012

Road Traffic (Administration) Act 2008

Road Traffic (Administration) Regulations 2014

Road Traffic Code 2000

Land Administration Act 1997 (Sec 55)

Shire of Perenjori Act 1995

Main Roads Act 1930

#### **Definitions**

**Restricted Access Vehicle (RAV):** A Restricted Access Vehicle (RAV) is a vehicle that exceed any of the following:

- a width of 2.5 metres;
- a height of 4.3 metres;
- a length of 19 metres for a vehicle combination;

- a length of 12.5 metres for a rigid vehicle;
- a gross mass of 42.5 tonnes;
- any other mass or dimension limit prescribed in the Road Traffic (Vehicles) Regulations 2014.

**Notice:** A Notice (or Order) is an approval instrument published in the Government Gazette by the Commissioner of Main Roads that grants access or provides mass or dimension modifications to RAVs.

**Permit:** A Permit is an approval instrument issued by the Commissioner of Main Roads to grant access or provide mass or dimension modifications to RAVs.

Accredited Mass Management Scheme (AMMS): AMMS is a Main Roads concessional loading scheme that allows vehicles to operate above statutory Mass Limits. Once a transport operator has the appropriate loading control method in place they can apply for an AMMS permit which allows them to operate at the higher mass limits. AMMS allows for three (3) concessional mass levels which provide up to an additional 3.5 tonnes per tri-axle and 1.0 tonne per tandem axle combination.

#### **Policy Statement**

#### **RAV Network for the Shire**

The Shire of Perenjori seeks to achieve a sustainable road network that balances the needs of the community and the provision of an efficient freight network to support economic growth and development. The most recent RAV network routes for the Shire can be found on the Main Roads WA Heavy Vehicles website.

#### **RAV Networks**

There are three types of RAV Networks:

#### Standard Restricted Access Vehicle Network

The 'standard' RAVs are those vehicle combinations specified as Category 1 to 10 vehicle combinations under the Prime Mover, Trailer Combinations and Truck, Trailer Combinations Notice.

The RAV Categories have been grouped into four (4) assessment levels, as follows:

- Level 1 RAVs Categories 2-4 (e.g. pocket road train, B-Double, and other RAVs with a maximum length of either 25.0 m or 27.5 m);
- Level 2 RAVs Categories 5-6 (e.g. RAVs with a maximum length of 36.5 m ad a maximum mass of 87.5T);
- Level 3 RAVs Categories 7-8 (e.g. RAVs with a maximum length of 36.5 m and a maximum mass of 107.5T); and
- Level 4 RAVs Categories 9-10 (e.g. RAVs with a maximum length of 53.5 m).

#### Concessional Loading Restricted Access Vehicle Network

Concessionally loaded RAVs are grouped in the following categories:

- Level 1 RAVs operating under a concessional loading scheme allowing up to 17 tonnes on a tandem axle group and 21.5 tonnes on a tri axle group.
- Level 2 RAVs operating under a concessional loading scheme allowing up to 17 tonnes on a tandem axle group and 22.5 tonnes on a tri axle group.
- Level 3 RAVs operating under a concessional loading scheme allowing up to 17.5 tonnes on a tandem axle group and 23.5 tonnes on a tri axle group.
- Level 4 RAVs operating under other concessional loading schemes.

RAV operators require a relevant AMMS permit to carry a concessional load.

#### Tri – drive Restricted Access Vehicle Network

The Tri – drive RAV Categories have been grouped as follows:

- Level 1 Tri Dive RAV Category 1 (e.g. a vehicle that would otherwise be a general access vehicle if it was tandem drive, This category has access to the tandem drive RAV Network 2)
- Level 2 Tri Drive RAV Category 2 (e.g. RAVs with a maximum length of 25.0 metres).
- Level 3 Tri drive RAV Category 3 (e.g. RAVs with a maximum length of 27.5 metres).
- Level 4 Tri drive RAV Category 4 (e.g. RAVs with a maximum length of 36.5 metres).
- Level 5 Tri drive RAV Category 5 (e.g. RAVs with a maximum length of 53.5 metres).

#### **Assessing a RAV Access Application**

It is Main Roads' policy that support from the relevant road owner is obtained before an application for RAV access is assessed. Main Roads HVS will forward all applications to the road owner for support. If the Shire of Perenjori is supportive of the application, then they must undertake a preliminary assessment before endorsing the application.

The Main Roads RAV Network Access Strategy is aimed at establishing and maintaining a Strategic Road Freight Network (SRFN). The SRFN consists of roads, agreed on by Main Roads and Shire of Perenjori, which carry the bulk of freight vehicles. When considering access decisions, the Shire of Perenjori should be mindful of network wide considerations relating to efficiency, connectivity, sustainability, asset protection, public safety and public amenity. Where a more appropriate route is available, linking to the SRFN, it is reasonable to propose alternative routes to the applicant via the response to Main Roads WA. Approvals for RAV access outside of the SRFN should generally be limited to where access is required to a particular origin and / or destination or loading and unloading locations.

#### **Assessing Support**

The Shire of Perenjori must first determine if it supports the application. The assessment to determine support must be performed by a suitably qualified and experienced Shire of Perenjori officer or consultant. The assessor must record the basis for the decision and these records should accompany the application when it is referred to Council for approval.

The following criteria should be considered.

- 1. Is the road identified as a link on the Strategic Road Freight Network on a regional or local plan?
- 2. What is the designated Main Roads hierarchy? See Hierarchy Definitions and recommended roles in relation to the RAV Network in Appendix B.
- 3. Does the route provide connectivity to activity centres?
- 4. Does the route provide connectivity to the State road network?
- 5. Is the proposed RAV rating consistent with the connecting routes?
- 6. If the route crosses into adjacent Shires, have they been consulted?
- 7. Are there alternative routes that would be preferable for the RAV access?
- 8. Does the route impact community facilities e.g. schools, hospitals and town sites?
- 9. Will the proposed access impact public safety?
- 10. Is the proposed access likely to result in extraordinary damage to the road pavement? Consult the Shire of Perenjori Heavy Vehicle Charging Policy.
- 11. Are there any bridges or other structures that are clearly below the standard required for the proposed access or likely to result in dangerous operating conditions?
- 12. Are there any known physical or topographical constraints?
- 13. Is the road listed in ROADS 2030?

Further to this, consideration needs to be given to the benefits of approving RAV access. While a RAV may be a larger vehicle, the routes are assessed to ensure the vehicle can operate safely amongst other traffic. Approving RAV access will potentially reduce vehicle movements for the same transport task, which in turn reduces congestion, emissions, noise, community impact and road wear.

#### **Preliminary Assessment**

If the Shire of Perenjori supports the application, then a preliminary assessment must be performed by the Shire of Perenjori. If the application is for a road on the Tandem Drive Network to be added to the Tri Drive or Concessional Networks then no preliminary assessment is required by the Shire of Perenjori. Guidance on performing a preliminary assessment is provided by MR HVS, Framework – Adding a Shire of Perenjori Road to a Redistricted Access Vehicle Network.

The Guide states that the following criteria should be considered:

- An assessment of the road width to ensure the road is suitable for the level of RAV access being requested.
- An assessment of the steepness of longitudinal grades to ensure they are within the specified limits.
- An assessment of the stacking and sight distance of any railway level crossings on the route.
- Sight distances at intersections must be checked to ensure they comply with the guideline requirements.

# **Operating Conditions**

#### **Standard Operating Conditions**

Based on the Assessment of Support and the Preliminary Assessment, the Shire of Perenjori may review their support for the application or recommend a selection of operating conditions to be applied as a condition of permit. Main Roads will apply all or some of the conditions below to very low traffic volume roads when the road's width does not meet the minimum requirements as shown in Appendix C.

These and other similar operating conditions may be applied to the assessment of other roads.

- 1. When travelling at night, the RAV must travel at a maximum speed of 40km/h and display an amber flashing warning light on the prime mover. Where RAVs are limited to 40km/h advisory signs must be installed for safety to other vehicles who may unexpectedly catch up a vehicle at night.
- 2. No operation on unsealed road segment when visibly wet, without Road Owners approval.
- 3. Headlights must be switched on at all times.
- 4. Speed restrictions. (\*40 km/h or 60 km/h in accordance with the Appendix C Low Volume Rural Road Minimum Widths of the Standard Restricted Access Vehicle (RAV) Route Assessment Guidelines).

- 5. Direct radio contact must be maintained with other RAVs to establish their position on or near the road (suggested UHF Ch 40).
- 6. Road not to be entered until driver has established by radio communication that there is no other RAV on the road travelling in the opposing direction.
- 7. Operation is not permitted while the school bus is operating on the road. Operators must obtain school bus timetables; or where direct contact can be made with the school bus driver, operation is permitted once the school bus driver confirms all school drop offs/ pick-ups have been completed on the road.
- 8. The Operator must obtain written approval from the Road Owner. The approval letter must be carried in the vehicle and produced upon request. Commonly referred to as a CA07 condition.

#### Other Operating Conditions

The Shire of Perenjori may consider the need for additional operating conditions for example:

- Road not to be used as a through route. For local delivery and pick up only. Driver must carry proof of local delivery or pick up.
- Empty travel only
- Single lane operation only
- Laden ascent travel only
- Speed restrictions
- Warning signs to be installed in accordance with Australian Standards and removed when haulage completed
- · One truck movement at a time
- Truck entering signs to be erected by the Shire of Perenjori and removed when not in use.
- · Turning restrictions
- No operation during specified months or periods
- No operation on certain days e.g. Saturdays, Sundays or Public Holidays
- No movement permitted between specified times.

The Shire of Perenjori must justify the need for the additional conditions, which will be approved and applied at Main Roads discretion. Only conditions applied by Main Roads are enforceable.

#### **Restricted Local Access Period Permit**

If the road is deemed unsuitable for addition to the RAV network, the assessor may consider the alternative of recommending to Main Roads that the application be considered for "Restricted Local Access". The "Restricted Local Access" Period Permit provides access to the final destination of a particular transport task. This may include access to a farm gate, local business or pine plantation. A safety assessment is conducted by Main Roads taking into consideration the specific vehicle type and operation. The permit may be issued to a particular vehicle combination and/ or length with particular operating conditions. The assessor should consider the required operating conditions and make recommendations when referring the application back to Main Roads.

#### **Timeframes**

The Shire of Perenjori will endeavour to return the assessment to Main Roads within 4 weeks of receipt. Should Main Roads not receive support from the Shire of Perenjori within three (3) months, Main Roads reserves the right to undertake an assessment of the road and add to the relevant network if deemed suitable without Shire of Perenjori support.

#### **Authority**

Support of an application to amend a RAV Route shall be a decision of Council unless otherwise delegated.

#### **Reference Material**

The RAV assessment process is managed and approved by Main Roads Western Australia. This policy must be applied in accordance with the relevant Main Roads guiding documentation. The latest reference material is listed below however Main Roads may review and change the process from time to time and Officers applying this policy should ensure they have familiarised themselves with the latest guidelines. The Guidelines can be viewed on the Main Roads website under Heavy Vehicles, RAV Network Access.

- 1. Restricted Access Vehicles: Prime Mover, Trailer Combinations: Operating Conditions
- 2. Framework Adding a Shire of Perenjori Road to a Restricted Access Vehicle Network
- 3. Guidelines for Approving RAV Access
- 4. Framework for Using Consultants to Assess Shire of Perenjori Roads for Inclusion on a Restricted Access Vehicle Network
- 5. Standard Restricted Access Vehicle (RAV) Route Assessment Guidelines
- 6. Tri Drive Route Assessment Guidelines
- 7. Concessional Loading Route Assessment Guidelines.

#### Appendix A

#### **RAV Network Application Process**

# Step 1

 Applicant completes "Application and Road Owner Support to Add or Amend a road on the Restricted Access Vehicle Network" located on the Main Roads website.

# Step 2

 Applicant to forward completed application to Main Roads Heavy Vehicle Services.

# Step 3

 Main Roads Heavy Vehicle Services to forward the received application from the applicant to the road owner for support.

# Step 4

- Road owner to undertake a preliminary assessment of the road requested (provided access is supported) before endorsing the application and returning to Main Roads Heavy Vehicle Services.
- Approximate timeframe 4 weeks.

# Step 5

- Request is assessed and reviewed by Heavy Vehicle Services.
- Approximate timeframe 8 12 weeks (dependant on location of road(s) within the State).

# Step 6

 If access is approved Heavy Vehicle Services will amend the relevant RAV Network.

# Step 7

 The applicant, road owner and Main Roads Regions (if necessary) are notified accordingly.

#### Appendix B

#### Road Hierarchy Considerations

A hierarchy of roads has been established to designate the role of all roads, funding allocations and to encourage uniform management of roads of the same type. This hierarchy is used as part of the decision-making process to determine if it is appropriate to add a road to a RAV network.

#### Primary Distributor:

Primary Distributor roads provide for major regional and inter-regional traffic movement and carry large volumes of generally fast moving traffic. These roads are State Roads and form part of the Strategic Freight Network. They are managed by Main Roads Western Australia and will generally be considered to be vital links of the Strategic Freight Network.

#### Regional Distributor:

Regional Distributor roads, which are not primary distributor roads, link significant destinations and are designed for efficient movement of people and goods within and beyond regional areas. They are managed by local government and will generally be considered as the preferred route for RAV network access. Regional Distributor roads may form part of the Strategic RAV Network.

#### District Distributors:

District Distributor A and B roads run between built up areas (generally not through them), forming a grid which would ideally space them about 1.5 kilometres apart. They are managed by local governments.

- District Distributor A
  - Carries traffic between industrial, commercial and residential areas and generally connect to Primary Distributor roads. These are likely to be truck routes and provide only limited RAV access to adjoining property.
- District Distributor B

Performs a similar function to District Distributor A roads, but with reduced capacity due to flow restrictions caused by frequent property accesses and roadside parking in many instances. These are often older roads with a traffic demand in excess of that originally intended and access conditions are generally applied to limit the RAV access to local operators.

District Distributor roads may on occasion form part of the Strategic Freight Network, however as they mostly provide local access they will generally only form part of the local freight network.

#### Local Distributor:

Local Distributor roads are managed by local governments. Their role is similar in both built up areas and rural areas, but traffic volumes and traffic management requirements differ significantly.

Built Up Area

Roads that carry traffic within a cell and link District Distributor roads or Primary Distributor roads at the boundary, to access roads. The route of Local Distributor roads should discourage through traffic so the cell formed by the grid of higher order distributor roads only carries traffic belonging to, or serving the area. Local Distributor roads should accommodate buses, but discourage general truck movements. RAV access on these roads will be generally be supported provided the local government conducts adequate community consultation and access conditions applied to limit RAV access to local operators.

#### Rural

Roads that connect to other Rural Distributor roads and to Rural Access Roads. They are not Regional Distributor roads, but are designed for the efficient movement of people and goods within regional areas. RAV access on these roads will be supported provided the local government conducts adequate community consultation and access conditions applied to limit RAV access to local operators.

#### Access Road:

Access roads provide access to abutting properties with safety aspects having priority over the vehicle movement function and are managed by local governments. In urban areas, these roads are generally bicycle and pedestrian friendly. RAV access on these roads will only be supported for local access and not as through routes.

Local Distributor and Access roads provide local access and will generally only form part of the local freight network.

#### Appendix C

#### APPENDIX C - LOW VOLUME RURAL ROAD MINIMUM WIDTHS

NB: This section is not to be used for assessing routes for RAV Category 8.

Type A Road (suitable for two-way RAV traffic)

	40 km/h	60 km/h	
	Carriageway Width (m)	Carriageway Width (m)	
Sight distance above 250 m	·		
RAVs Categories 2-7	5.8	6.1*	
RAVs Categories 9-10	5.9	6.3*	
Sight distance below 250 m			
RAVs Categories 2-7	6.1	6.4*	
RAVs Categories 9-10	6.2	6.6*	

For Type A low volume roads, Appendix H operating conditions 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 and 8 will apply automatically as a condition of permit;

Type B Road (unsuitable for two-way RAV traffic)

Type o road (dissultable for the may i	was a guille)	
	40 km/h	
	Carriageway Width (m)	
RAVs Categories 2-7	3.5	
RAVs Categories 9-10	3.5	

For type B low volume roads, Appendix H operating conditions 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 will apply automatically as a condition of permit.

<sup>&</sup>quot;If a road is at least 1.0 m wider than these widths, an 80km/h speed restriction should be considered. A speed restriction above 80km/h should only be considered if the road is sealed, has good sight distance and presents no significant safety concern.



# ATTACHMENT Item 16.1(a)

Advocacy Positions for a New Local Government Act

**ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING 18 FEBRUARY 2021** 



# Advocacy Positions for a New Local Government Act

# **Key issues from recent inquiries** into Local Government

December 2020



#### **About WALGA**

The WA Local Government Association (WALGA) is working for Local Government in Western Australia. As the peak industry body, WALGA advocates on behalf of 139 Western Australian Local Governments. As the united voice of Local Government in Western Australia, WALGA is an independent, membership-based organization representing and supporting the work and interests of Local Governments in Western Australia. WALGA provides an essential voice for 1,220 Elected Members, approximately 22,000 Local Government employees (16,500 Full Time Equivalent's) as well as over 2.5 million constituents of Local Governments in Western Australia.

#### **Contacts**

Nick Sloan Chief Executive Officer nsloan@walga.asn.au

James McGovern
Manager Governance and Procurement
jmcgovern@walga.asn.au

Tony Brown
Executive Manager Governance and
Organisational Services
tbrown@walga.asn.au

Tim Lane
Manager Strategy and Association
Governance
tlane@walga.asn.au



# **Contents**

About WALGA	2
Contacts	2
Recommendations	4
Background	7
Local Government Review Panel	7
City of Perth Inquiry	7
Select Committee into Local Government	7
Key Issues	8
New Local Government Act	8
Legislative Intent	9
Intergovernmental Cooperation	12
Elections	13
Rating Exemptions	16
Fees and Charges	17
Road Funding	18
Regional Collaboration	19
Community Engagement	22
Roles and Responsibilities	24
External Oversight	26
Financial Management and Procurement	28
Accountability and Audit	33



#### Recommendations

#### **New Local Government Act**

That the State Government prepare a new Local Government Act as a priority.

#### **Legislative Intent**

That the following key principles be embodied in the Local Government Act:

- 1. Uphold the general competence principle currently embodied in the Local Government Act
- 2. Provide for a flexible, principles-based legislative framework
- 3. Promote a size and scale compliance regime
- 4. Promote enabling legislation that empowers Local Government to carry out activities beneficial to its community taking into consideration Local Governments' role in creating a sustainable and resilient community through:
  - i. Economic development
  - ii. Environmental protection, and
  - iii. Social advancement
- 5. Avoid red tape and 'de-clutter' the extensive regulatory regime that underpins the Local Government Act, and
- 6. The State Government must not assign legislative responsibilities to Local Governments unless there is provision for resources required to fulfil the responsibilities.

#### **Intergovernmental Cooperation**

That a Partners in Government Agreement promoting a collaborative partnership approach be signed by the Premier, Minister for Local Government, Western Australian Local Government Association and Local Government Professionals WA at the commencement of each term of the State Government.

#### **Elections**

The Local Government sector supports:

- 1. Four year terms with a two year spill
- 2. Greater participation in Local Government elections
- 3. The option to hold elections through:
  - Online voting
  - Postal voting, and
  - In-person voting
- 4. Voting at Local Government elections to be voluntary
- 5. The first past the post method of counting votes



- 6. Local Governments being enabled to determine the number of Elected Members required on the Council between six and 15 (including the Mayor/President)
- 7. Local Governments to determine if the Mayor or President is elected by the Council or the community at large.
- 8. Continuation of the property franchise.

#### **Rating Exemptions**

That an independent review of all rate exemptions be undertaken.

#### **Fees and Charges**

#### That:

- 1. An independent review be undertaken to remove fees and charges from legislation and regulation and,
- 2. Local Government be empowered to set fees and charges for Local Government services.

#### **Road Funding**

That the Government returns to Local Government at least 27 percent of motor vehicle licence fee collections.

#### **Regional Collaboration**

#### That:

- 1. Local Governments be empowered to form single and joint subsidiaries, and beneficial enterprises, and
- 2. Compliance requirements of Regional Councils be reviewed and reduced.

#### **Community Engagement**

The Local Government sector supports:

- 1. Responsive, aspirational and innovative community engagement principles
- 2. Encapsulation of aims and principles in a community engagement policy, and
- 3. The option of hosting an Annual Community Meeting to present on past performance and outline future prospects and plans.

#### **Roles and Responsibilities**

That clarification of roles and responsibilities for mayors / presidents, councillors and CEO's be considered to ensure that there is no ambiguity.



#### **External Oversight**

The Local Government sector supports:

- 1. Establishing an Office of the Independent Assessor to replace the Standards Panel to provide an independent body to receive, investigate and assess complaints against elected members and undertake inquiries.
- 2. Remove the CEO from being involved in processing complaints
- 3. That an early intervention framework of monitoring to support local governments be provided with any associated costs to be the responsibility of the State Government.

#### **Financial Management and Procurement**

That the Local Government sector:

- Requests the Minister for Local Government to direct the Department of Local Government to prepare a Model set of Financial Statements and Annual Budget Statements for the Local Government sector, in consultation with the Office of the Auditor General:
- 2. Requests the Department of Local Government to re-assess the amount of detail required to be included in annual financial reports, in particular for small and medium sized entities as suggested by the Office of Auditor General;
- 3. Supports Local Governments being able to use freehold land to secure debt;
- 4. Supports Building Upgrade Finance being permitted for specific purposes such as cladding, heritage and green improvements;
- 5. Supports the alignment of Local Government procurement thresholds, rules and policies with the State Government.

#### **Accountability and Audit**

That audit committees of Local Government, led and overseen by the Council, have a clearly defined role with an Elected Member majority and chair.



# **Background**

Three significant inquiries relating to Local Government in Western Australia have released their final reports in August and September 2020.

This paper, which was endorsed by State Council on 2 December 2020 (Resolution 142.6/2020), aims to distil key issues raised by these reports and identify strategic advocacy positions of the Local Government sector to inform the strategic direction of legislative reform.

#### **Local Government Review Panel**

As part of the Review of the Local Government Act, the Local Government Review Panel was formed to guide the strategic direction of the review and to recommend high level guiding principles for a new act.

The Local Government Review Panel Final Report was released on 5 August 2020.

#### **City of Perth Inquiry**

The Authorised Inquiry into the City of Perth was announced on 24 April 2018 by the Minister for Local Government.

The report contains 341 recommendations, of which 132 have implications for the Local Government sector.

The Report of the Inquiry into the City of Perth was tabled in Parliament on 11 August 2020.

#### **Select Committee into Local Government**

The Legislative Council Select Committee into Local Government commenced on 26 June 2019.

The Committee had broad terms of reference to inquire into Local Government in Western Australia and made a number of recommendations relating to key Local Government sector issues.

The <u>Select Committee into Local Government Final Report – Inquiry into Local Government</u> was tabled in Parliament on 22 September 2020.



# **Key Issues**

#### **New Local Government Act**

The Local Government Review Panel Final Report states:

When the Western Australia Government launched the Local Government Act Review its objectives were to produce 'a new, modern Act that empowers local governments to better deliver for the community', and that local government should be 'Agile, Smart and Inclusive'. Those objectives remain valid.

#### **Discussion**

The current *Local Government Act 1995* was proclaimed in 1996 and has been effective at enshrining the general competence principle which has enabled Local Governments to govern in the best interests of their communities. However, over the last 25 years there has been significant regulation and compliance obligations added to the legislation.

The current Local Government Act contains ten parts and totals 490 pages. In addition, there are 13 sets of regulations comprising a further 460 pages. This is a legislative burden on the Local Government sector and requires a significant reduction and a move to a principle over prescription approach to a new Act.

The Local Government Act should facilitate Local Governments utilising their general competence powers within a legislative framework that provides for good governance and accountability to the community for decision-making. The Local Government sector seeks a reduced regulatory approach accompanied by best practice guidance, support and assistance.

With a State Election due in March 2021 it is appropriate for the sector to seek a commitment for the progression of a new Local Government Act.

#### **Local Government Position:**

That the State Government prepare a new Local Government Act as a priority.



#### **Legislative Intent**

The <u>Local Government Review Panel Final Report</u> recommends:

2. The Panel recommends the following statement of intent (vision) for a new Act:

An Act to provide for a system of local government relevant to Western Australia that develops and supports sustainable, accountable, collaborative and capable local governments through democratic representation, the provision of services, opportunities and enhanced well-being for each and every community.

- 3. The Panel recommends the adoption of the following objectives for a new Act:
  - Democratic and accountable local government that recognises the diversity of and within Western Australia's communities.
  - b. Recognition of the specific needs and culture of Western Australia's Aboriginal people.
  - c. Promotion and improvement of the community's economic, social and environmental well-being.
  - d. An adaptive and forward-looking legislative framework, which supports and enables councils to provide local leadership for the whole community, and to collaborate with each other and with other key stakeholders at a regional level.
  - e. Open and transparent community participation in the decisions and affairs of local governments.
  - f. Enhanced capability of the local government sector, with a focus on continuous improvement and sustainability.
  - g. Efficient and effective service delivery and regulation that is responsive to current and future community needs.
  - h. Informed decision-making by local governments which is in the interest of their communities, within a legislative framework that supports balance and certainty in relation to the different interests of their communities.
  - i. Accountability of local governments to their communities through processes that demonstrate good governance.
  - Support for approaches and opportunities which foster collaboration and cooperation both within the local government sector and across all levels of Government.
- 4. The Panel recommends an Act that is considerably shorter, less prescriptive and minimises the use of regulations by establishing clear principles, robust processes, model charters, guidelines and templates.
- 5. The Panel recognises the diversity of local governments in Western Australia and supports a new Act which is responsive to this but does not recommend the adoption of a multi-tiered legislative framework.
- 6. The Panel recommends the inclusion of a statement of the role and principal functions of local governments that makes it clear their basic statutory responsibilities, retaining the overall power of general competency in the current Local Government Act.



#### The Select Committee into Local Government recommends:

1. The Government consider implementing a compliance regime that differentiates between local governments based on their size and scale where appropriate.

#### **Discussion**

Throughout WALGA's consultation with the sector on the Local Government Act review, there has been overwhelming support for *principles over prescription*, an approach that avoids red tape and declutters the extensive regulatory regime. The following key principles are fundamental drivers of future Local Government legislation.

#### General competence

The Local Government Act is founded on the general competence powers principle, which gives Local Governments the legal capacity to do anything that is not prohibited by law. This principle is uniformly supported by the Local Government sector and that it should not be diluted by over-regulating the operations of Local Government.

The general competence principle recognises the democratic mandate of Local Government to represent, plan, and provides services for its community.

#### Flexible, principles-based legislative framework

The Local Government Act works well when Local Governments apply their general competence powers within a legislative framework that provides for good governance, with accountability to the community for decision-making.

The Local Government Act should focus on principles and objectives, not on process. Best practice, guidance material and smart people working together to solve problems drive innovation; prescriptive regulation drives compliance for the sake of compliance.

To focus on the process and not the principles and objectives risks embedding today's practice into legislation instead of allowing Local Governments the flexibility to innovate and adapt to new methods and new technologies. Not everything a Local Government should do needs to be legislated. Ultimately, Councils are considered to be generally competent and are accountable to the community through democratic elections held every two years.

#### Size and scale compliance regime

There is a marked appetite to differentiate between Local Governments based on size and scale. There is a significant difference in the compliance requirements of the City of Stirling compared to the Shire of Murchison. Areas such as the integrated planning and reporting framework, internal audits and model procurement policies are example areas that could be considered on a size and scale approach.



#### Promote enabling legislation

Promote enabling legislation that empowers Local Government to carry out activities beneficial to its community taking into consideration the Local Government's role in creating a sustainable and resilient community through:

- Economic development
- Environmental protection, and
- Social advancement.

There is a need to provide enabling legislation with a 'menu of opportunities' for the sector. Legislation should enable Local Governments to carry out a range of activities, even though all Local Governments may not want to undertake the activity.

#### Reduce red tape

WALGA has called for the de-cluttering of the extensive regulatory regime that underpins the Local Government Act. The legislative and regulatory regime represents a considerable challenge to the delivery of effective and efficient governance.

The State Government must not assign legislative responsibilities to Local Governments unless there is provision for resources required to fulfil the responsibilities.

The State Government should not impose responsibilities to Local Governments without adequate resourcing. This principle is contained within the British Columbia Community Charter and is supported by the Local Government sector.

#### **Local Government Position:**

That the following key principles be embodied in the Local Government Act:

- 1. Uphold the general competence principle currently embodied in the Local Government Act
- 2. Provide for a flexible, principles-based legislative framework
- 3. Promote a size and scale compliance regime
- 4. Promote enabling legislation that empowers Local Government to carry out activities beneficial to its community taking into consideration Local Governments' role in creating a sustainable and resilient community through:
  - i. Economic development
  - ii. Environmental protection, and
  - iii. Social advancement
- 5. Avoid red tape and 'de-clutter' the extensive regulatory regime that underpins the Local Government Act, and
- 6. The State Government must not assign legislative responsibilities to Local Governments unless there is provision for resources required to fulfil the responsibilities.



#### **Intergovernmental Cooperation**

The <u>Local Government Review Panel Final Report</u> recommends:

The Panel recommends that the new Act include a set of principles for intergovernmental relations that make clear local government's role and obligations as part of the broader system of government, and that underpin a range of ongoing arrangements such as the State Local Government Partnership.

#### **Discussion**

Local Government strongly supports the enhanced collaboration between State and Local Government, as two spheres of government responsible for delivering public infrastructure and services for the benefit of the Western Australian community.

A Partners in Government Agreement, to be signed by the Premier, Minister for Local Government and Local Government leaders should contain:

- A preamble highlighting the importance of collaboration and partnership
- Objectives and principles
- Meetings of the Partners in Government Group comprising senior State and Local Government decision makers
- · Collaboration aims and ideals, and
- Key focus areas.

Collaboration and partnership between the State and Local Government sectors aims to leverage the strengths of both spheres of Government for the benefit of Western Australia: the State's leadership and policy direction, and Local Government's on-the-ground presence in every community in our large and diverse state.

#### **Local Government Position:**

That a Partners in Government Agreement promoting a collaborative partnership approach be signed by the Premier, Minister for Local Government, Western Australian Local Government Association and Local Government Professionals WA at the commencement of each term of the State Government.



#### **Elections**

The <u>Local Government Review Panel Final Report</u> recommends:

- 19. Optional preferential voting be adopted in place of the current first past the post system.
- 20. The principle of one vote per person be included in the legislation, subject to Recommendation 21 below.
- 21. Property franchise voting should be replaced with the requirement for local governments to introduce mechanisms for regular and effective consultation with the business community.
- 22. Local government elections are held once every four years, two years after but to otherwise accord with the timing of the State election.
- 23. All local government elections should be overseen by the Western Australian Electoral Commissioner.
- 24. Provision in the new Act for electronic/online voting to be introduced in the future once the integrity of the process can be assured (including allowing for a pilot).
- 25. The Panel makes the following further recommendations in relation to elections:
  - Postal voting be required, with lodgement of these votes to be allowed in person on and before election day.
  - b. The election process extended to provide more time for the issuing and receipt of postal votes.
  - c. The information local government candidates must provide at nomination should be expanded to ensure that adequate information is given for voters to make an informed decision. Candidate nomination forms should also include declaration of membership of a political party and these forms should be published and available during the election period.
  - d. A caretaker policy should be introduced barring elected members up for reelection from representing the council at events, handing out council grants or donations and moving substantive notices of motion in the period before the election, and a requirement to comply with this policy should be included in the Code of Conduct.
  - e. The donor and the candidate should co-sign each declaration of a gift made.
  - f. Donations via crowd funding platforms should be regulated so far as possible.
- 26. In respect to elected member representation, the Panel recommends:
  - a. Population should be used to determine the number of elected member positions:



- (i) Population of up to 5,000 5 councillors (including President).
- (ii) Population of between 5,000 and 75,000 5 to 9 councillors (including Mayor/President).
- (iii) Population of above 75,000 9 to 15 councillors (including Mayor).
- b. Ward boundary reviews, to ensure equitable representation is maintained, should be conducted every four years by the Office of the Electoral Distribution Commissioners, with the support of the WAEC and should be conducted using similar processes and principles that are in place for state electoral boundaries as contained in the Electoral Act 1907.
- c. Current classification bands 3 and 4 should not have multiple wards unless the Local Government Commission permits it in the interests of ensuring local democracy is enabled in certain communities.
- d. The changes to wards and elected member numbers due to the above recommendations should be phased in.

#### **Discussion**

The overwhelming majority of Local Governments support retaining four year terms with a half spill every two years. A principle of the current two year election cycle is to support the continuity of knowledge and experience of the Local Government. A key risk of a proposal for an all in/all out term is the loss of knowledge and the influence of a Council.

Promoting voting participation in Local Government elections is a priority and can be achieved through a range of voting options, such as:

- On-line voting
- Postal voting, and
- In-person voting.

In respect to voting methods, Local Governments over the past 40 years have experienced preferential voting, proportionate preferential voting and the current first past the post method. Due to its simplicity, and ease of understanding, the Local Government sector supports first past the post voting.

The Local Government sector opposes compulsory voting in Local Government elections.

In respect to Elected Member representation, the general competence principle should apply, enabling a Local Government to determine the appropriate number, between six and 15 elected members (including the Mayor/President), depending on local requirements. This philosophy also extends to the decision to have a Mayor or President elected by the Council or elected at large by the community.

The Local Government sector supports continuation of the status quo with regards to the property franchise.



#### **Local Government Position:**

#### The Local Government sector supports:

- 1. Four year terms with a two year spill
- 2. Greater participation in Local Government elections
- 3. The option to hold elections through
  - Online voting
  - · Postal voting, and
  - In-person voting
- 4. Voting at Local Government elections to be voluntary
- 5. The first past the post method of counting votes
- 6. Local Governments being enabled to determine the number of Elected Members required on the Council between six and 15 (including the Mayor/President)
- 7. Local Governments to determine if the Mayor or President is elected by the Council or the community at large
- 8. Continuation of the property franchise.



#### **Rating Exemptions**

The <u>Local Government Review Panel Final Report</u> recommends:

50(c) The Economic Regulatory Authority (ERA) should be asked to undertake a review of the rating system, including a thorough examination of the case for the current wide range of exemptions.

The <u>Select Committee into Local Government</u> recommends:

- Recognising that the current charitable purposes rate exemption produces perverse outcomes and may inappropriately shield commercial operations from paying rates, the Government clarify the charitable purposes rate exemption in any new local government Act.
- 9 The Government conduct a broad review of the rate exemptions to be included in any new local government Act.

#### **Discussion**

Exemptions from rates represent significant revenue leakage for Local Government. Recent data indicates that revenue foregone represents approximately two percent of rate revenue. This shortfall in lost revenue must then be made up from other ratepayers.

Rating exemptions relating to charitable purposes are particularly concerning as this exemption has extended in scope beyond its original intent to provide rating exemptions for the commercial undertakings of not-for-profit organisations. For instance, Independent Living Units, which often cost far more than the median house, are often exempt from rates. The net result of this is that millions of dollars of revenue is lost to Local Government which then has to be recouped from other ratepayers, many of whom would not be in a position to afford an Independent Living Unit themselves.

The rating exemptions that are of concern for the sector relate to the following:

- Rating of Charitable Purpose properties
- Department of Housing: Leasing to Charitable Organisations
- Government Trading Entities
- State Agreement Act projects
- State Owned Unallocated Crown Land

On this basis, the Local Government sector supports an independent review of all rating exemptions to enhance equity among ratepayers in the community.

#### **Local Government Position:**

That an independent review of all rate exemptions be undertaken.



#### **Fees and Charges**

The <u>Local Government Review Panel Final Report</u> recommends:

- The Panel recommends that local governments should be able to set reasonable fees and charges according to a rating and revenue strategy, with the oversight of the Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee.
- The Panel recommends that local governments and State Government apply cost recovery principles when setting fees and charges.

#### **Discussion**

Fees and charges represent a significant source of discretionary revenue for Local Governments. Examples include dog registration fees, fees for building approvals and swimming pool entrance fees.

Currently fees and charges are determined by legislation or regulation, with an upper limit set by legislation, or by the Local Government. Fees mandated by legislation often do not keep pace with the cost of delivery meaning that ratepayers will subsidise particular activities without any ability to have input into the setting of the fee.

While cost recovery should be a consideration for the setting of fees and charges, there are some services that Local Governments may choose to subsidise to encourage activities with overall community benefit.

Setting fees and charges is a core government function and should be a deliberative decision of the Council.

#### **Local Government Position:**

#### That:

- 1. An independent review be undertaken to remove fees and charges from legislation and regulation and,
- 2. Local Government be empowered to set fees and charges for Local Government services.



#### **Road Funding**

The <u>Select Committee into Local Government</u> recommends:

7. The Government consider returning to local governments at least 27 percent of motor vehicle licence fee collections.

#### **Discussion**

Local Governments are responsible for 127,500km of roads in Western Australia, representing 88 percent of the public road network.

With a replacement value close to \$30 billion, the Local Government road network is a significant state asset that connects people and places and facilitates economic activity across all of Western Australia.

In their final report, the Select Committee into Local Government found that the shortfall between local government expenditure on road preservation and the amount required to maintain roads at their current condition has continued to increase over the past five years to \$155.74 million in 2018-19.

To that end, the Local Government sector advocates for road funding from the State Government to return to 27 percent of vehicle licence fees.

#### **Local Government Position:**

That the Government returns to Local Government at least 27 percent of motor vehicle licence fee collections.



#### **Regional Collaboration**

#### The Local Government Review Panel recommends:

- 12. The Panel recommends that the new Act should promote and mandate expanded regional cooperation between local governments by:
  - a. Making increased collaboration a specific objective and principle.
  - b. Providing an improved model of joint (regional) subsidiaries that can be used for strategic planning, resource sharing, shared services delivery and commercial enterprises (see also Recommendations 14 and 39).
  - c. Requiring regional cooperation as part of IPR (see also Recommendation 35).
- 13. The Panel recommends that consideration also be given to the potential need for a new form of 'regional authority' to enable collaboration on specific issues between governments and with other key stakeholders.
- 14. The Panel recommends:
  - a. The regional council model is discontinued.
  - b. A flexible model of joint (regional) and single (local) subsidiaries be introduced in order to enable:
    - (i) collaboration between local governments; and/or
    - (ii) involvement of local government in economic development including commercial activities.
- 40. The Panel recommends that the new Act should provide the freedom for local governments to be involved in commercial activities where it is in the public interest and subject to competitive neutrality principles.
- 41. The Panel recommends that 'beneficial enterprises' not be introduced as a new mechanism for local government commercial activities, but that instead an updated and more flexible subsidiary model should provide for the following:
  - a. Local government autonomy to establish a single or joint subsidiary to:
    - (i) Carry out any scheme, work or undertaking on behalf of the council;
    - (ii) Manage or administer any property or facilities on behalf of the council;
    - (iii) Provide facilities or services on behalf of the council; and/or
    - (iv) Carry out any other functions on behalf of the council.
  - b. The subsidiary to be established through a charter.
  - c. The charter to be certified by an independent and suitably experienced legal practitioner as within power and National Competition Policy.
  - d. Public notice of the proposal to establish the subsidiary to ensure that there are no private operators that would be significantly disadvantaged.
  - e. The subsidiary to be able to undertake commercial activities (within the limits of competitive neutrality and a thorough risk assessment).



- f. The subsidiary to have the ability to acquire, hold, dispose of or otherwise deal with property.
- g. Dividends able to be paid to member local governments.
- h. The requirement for employees of the subsidiary to be employed under the same award or agreement conditions as the relevant local government/s and within the jurisdiction of the Western Australian Industrial Relations Commission.
- No requirement for ministerial approval at the outset, but reserve powers for the Minister for Local Government to intervene if issues arise should be included.
- 42. The Panel recommends local governments should utilise the subsidiary models and, as a general rule, should not form entities outside this, such as under the Associations Incorporation Act, except as a means of establishing or maintaining partnerships with other local or regional organisations in those instances where the local government is not the dominant party.

#### **Discussion**

The Local Government sector supports streamlined and effective regional collaboration to leverage economies of scale, combined resources and enhanced accountability.

Regional collaboration provides many benefits for the community, including:

- Efficient service delivery leveraging economies of scale
- Enhanced accountability for specific functions, and
- Reduced risk by quarantining ratepayer funds in a separate legal entity

Flexibility for local governments to select and adapt the most suitable collaborative model to local circumstances is crucial for the benefits of regional collaboration to be realised. While competitive neutrality is important, and should be respected, the ability to undertake commercial activities is important, particularly to address instances of market failure.

The subsidiary model, governed by a charter, provides simplicity and can be customised to meet local and service delivery needs.

The beneficial enterprises model provides for a commercial focus while increasing accountability and reducing risk by quarantining ratepayer funds.

To that end, the local government sector supports the ability to utilise a range of fit-forpurpose regional collaborative models.



#### **Local Government position**

#### That:

- 1. Local Governments be empowered to form single and joint subsidiaries, and beneficial enterprises, and
- 2. Compliance requirements of Regional Councils be reviewed and reduced.



# **Community Engagement**

The <u>Local Government Review Panel Final Report</u> recommends:

- 33. The Panel recommends that the following community engagement principles should be included in the new Act:
  - a. Councils actively engage with their local communities;
  - b. Councils are responsive to the needs, interests and aspirations of individuals and groups within its community;
  - c. Community engagement processes have clearly defined objectives and scope;
  - d. Participants in community engagement have access to objective, relevant and timely information to inform their participation;
  - Participants in community engagement are representative of the persons and groups affected by the matter that is the subject of the community engagement;
  - f. Participants in community engagement are entitled to reasonable support to enable meaningful and informed engagement; and,
  - g. Participants in community engagement are informed of the ways in which the community engagement process will influence council decision-making.
- 34. The Panel recommends a Community Engagement Charter be required as a mechanism for guiding and enhancing community participation in local decision-making, and that a model charter be prepared to set parameters and provide guidance on mechanisms to be used.
- 35. The Panel recommends the Annual Electors' Meeting is replaced by an Annual Community Meeting whereby:
  - a. As a minimum, councils provide information on their achievements and future prospects;
  - b. Councils report on the local government's financial performance and performance against relevant Council Plans;
  - c. Both the mayor/president and the Chair of the Audit Committee address the meeting;
  - d. There is ample time for questions; and,
  - e. Wider community participation is encouraged through different delivery mechanisms.

### **Discussion**

With a local presence in every community in Western Australia, community engagement is core business for Local Government.



Principles and methods supporting responsive, aspirational and innovative community engagement are supported. Local Governments are often on the frontier of innovative community engagement methods, such as participatory budgeting and deliberative democracy.

To that end, the Local Government sector supports community engagement aims and principles to be encapsulated in a policy. However, the content of such a policy should not be prescribed; Local Governments, with knowledge and regular touchpoints with their communities, are best placed to determine the content of a community engagement policy.

An optional Annual Community Meeting is supported, at which Local Governments could present their annual report, financial performance and recent achievements, and outline their future prospects and plans.

### **Local Government Position:**

### The Local Government sector supports:

- 1. Responsive, aspirational and innovative community engagement principles
- 2. Encapsulation of aims and principles in a community engagement policy, and
- 3. The option of hosting an Annual Community Meeting to present on past performance and outline future prospects and plans.



# **Roles and Responsibilities**

The Local Government Review Panel Final Report recommends:

- 28. The Panel recommends significant changes in the Act to the current statements of roles and responsibilities for mayors/presidents, councillors and CEOs and that the Act should include a new statement of responsibilities for the 'council' which captures the roles and responsibilities of all councillors acting collectively as the council.
- 29-32. Revised statements of roles and responsibilities that are specific to address the following issues:
  - Community leadership
  - Strategic planning
  - Continuous improvement
  - Executive function (for mayors/presidents)
  - Guiding the CEO (for mayors/presidents)
  - Training

The <u>Select Committee into Local Government Report</u> recommends:

26. The Government clarify the roles of council and the chief executive officer, and the distinction between governance and operational matters, in any new local government Act.

### **Discussion**

The *Local Government Act 1995* is predicated on separate roles and responsibilities for Elected Members and the administration, as summarised in the Second Reading Speech:

'The new Act will provide a clear distinction between the representative and policy making role of the elected Councillors and the administrative and advisory role of the chief executive officer and other staff.'

The Inquiry Report's recommendations for training and induction are reflective of the mandatory training and continuing professional development requirements introduced in the *Local Government Legislation Amendment Act 2019*.

WALGA has long advocated for absolute certainty in responsibilities and separation of powers associated with employees. Appointing and dismissing senior designated employees falls within the function of the CEO.

From this perspective, clarification of roles and responsibilities requires similar consideration of a clearly defined separation of powers between the governing body and the administration.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Government of Western Australia, Local Government Bill Second Reading, 31 Aug. 1995 pp. 7547-7551



# **Local Government Position:**

That clarification of roles and responsibilities for mayors / presidents, councillors and CEO's be considered to ensure that there is no ambiguity.



# **External Oversight**

### The Local Government Review Panel Final Report recommends:

- 57. The Panel recommends that there should be an early intervention framework of monitoring to support local governments. The department should have additional powers to appoint and support the monitor with councils responsible for the direct costs of the monitor.
- 58. The Panel recommends the Minister should have the power to direct local governments and make declarations in respect to the Local Government Act during a declared state of emergency.
- 59. The Panel recommends establishing an Office of the Independent Assessor that should:
  - a. Be an independent body to receive, investigate and assess complaints against elected members and undertake inquiries. This removes the CEO from being involved in processing and determining complaints.
  - b. Be a statutory appointment by the Governor.
  - c. Upon assessment, refer the complaint back to the council (behaviour-related), the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT) (serious breaches), or to another appropriate body (such as, Corruption and Crime Commission, Public Sector Commission, Ombudsman) according to the subject of the complaint.
  - d. Replace the Standards Panel by investigating and making determinations on Rules of Conduct breaches. SAT will determine the penalties.
  - e. Amongst other powers, have the power to investigate, to order compulsory mediation and to deal with abuses of process.
  - f. Be required to notify the CEO and council of any matters on a confidential basis.

### The City of Perth Inquiry Report recommends:

323-332. An Office of Inspector of Local Government (Inspector) be established as an independent statutory office, responsible to the Minister for Local Government.

### The <u>Select Committee into Local Government Report</u> recommends:

25. The Government give active consideration, as part of the review of the Local Government Act 1995, to establishing a new independent statutory body to regulate and support the local government sector.



### **Discussion**

The recommendation from the Local Government Act Review Panel to replace the Standards Panel with an Office of the Independent Assessor is worth supporting. The proposal is to set-up an independent body to receive, investigate and assess complaints against elected members and undertake inquiries. This removes the CEO from being involved in processing and determining complaints, which has previously put the CEO in an invidious position.

An early intervention framework of monitoring to support Local Governments should also be provided, with costs to be the responsibility of the State Government.

### **Local Government Position:**

### The Local Government sector supports:

- 1. Establishing an Office of the Independent Assessor to replace the Standards Panel to provide an independent body to receive, investigate and assess complaints against elected members and undertake inquiries.
- 2. Remove the CEO from being involved in processing complaints
- 3. That an early intervention framework of monitoring to support local governments be provided with any associated costs to be the responsibility of the State Government.



# **Financial Management and Procurement**

The <u>Local Government Review Panel Final Report</u> recommends:

- 43. The Panel recommends the following financial management principles be included in the new Act:
  - a. Councils should have regard to achieving intergenerational equity, including ensuring the following:
    - (i) Policy decisions are made after considering their financial effects on future generations
    - (ii) The current generation funds the cost of its services, and
    - (iii) Long life infrastructure may appropriately be funded by borrowings
  - b. Revenue, expenses, assets, liabilities, investments and financial transactions are managed in accordance with the council's financial policies and strategic plans
  - c. Financial risks are monitored and managed prudently having regard to economic circumstances
  - d. Financial policies and strategic plans, including the Revenue and Rating Strategy and Investment policy, seek to provide stability and predictability in the financial impact on the community; and
  - e. Accounts and records that explain the financial operations and financial position of the council are kept.
- 44. Having regard to the need for sound financial decision-making and accountability, the Panel recommends the following:
  - Local governments should be required to adopt or justify departures from a model investment policy to the Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee and relevant State Government Agency.
  - b. Local governments should be able to use freehold land to secure debt.
  - c. Debt should not be used for recurrent expenditure except in an emergency situation.
  - d. Notice should continue to be required to be given for borrowings not included in the local government's annual budget.
  - e. Building upgrade finance is permitted for specific purposes such as cladding, heritage and green improvements.
  - f. Local governments should adopt program budgeting to more clearly show the actual cost of delivering a service or undertaking an activity.
  - g. Local governments should report on the percentage of their expenditure spent on local businesses in their annual report.
- 45. The Panel recommends that local government procurement thresholds, rules and policies are, where applicable, aligned with the State Government, including (but not limited to):
  - a. Tender threshold (currently \$250,000);



- b. Procurement rules and methods for goods and services under the tender threshold;
- c. Procurement policies, including sustainable procurement, procuring from disability enterprises, buy local (where 'local' refers to Western Australia or a specific region of the state determined by the local government) and Aboriginal businesses; and
- d. Using TendersWA as the primary tender platform.
- 46. The Panel recommends the development of a model procurement policy for all local governments. If a local government chooses to deviate from the policy it should to be required to explain its reasoning to the responsible State Government agency.
- 47. The Panel recommends enhancing legislation to regulate and guide the establishment and management of panel contracts.
- 48. The Panel recommends a requirement for local governments to have an open register of local businesses with local governments determining what is considered 'local' to their community.
- 49. The Panel recommends breaches of the local government procurement rules to be referred to the Office of the Independent Assessor to use the appropriate powers under the new Local Government Act.

### The <u>Select Committee into Local Government</u> recommends:

- The Government give active consideration to providing, through the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries, an accounting advice helpdesk service to the local government sector similar to the service provided by the Department of Treasury to the State government sector.
- 13. The Government:
  - consider reducing the financial reporting requirements on local governments
  - in doing so, take into account the information provided by the Office of the Auditor General, set out at Appendix 3 and Appendix 4 of this report.
- 14. The Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries and the Government consider the introduction of tiered financial reporting for local governments.

### The City of Perth Inquiry Report recommends:

188. The State Government consider amendments to the Local Government Act 1995 to provide for better practice financial management through the establishment of Local Government Financial Management Instructions (similar to the Treasurer's Instructions for State Government) that establish a minimum set of standards and



requirements for the financial administration of local government (Financial Management Instructions).

- 189. The "WA Accounting Manual" be reviewed, updated and promulgated by the Department within the next 12 months.
- 190. The Minister for Local Government consider prescribing the format of the annual budget and financial report to provide consistency across local government.

### **Discussion**

The sector has considered a number of the recommendations from the reports and supports reviewing the financial reporting requirements for Local Governments.

### Model Financial Statements

WALGA has recently formed a Sector Reference Group to review the current financial ratios and to suggest more appropriate ratios. The Reference Group believes the first action to be taken should be for the Department of Local Government to prepare a Model set of Financial Reports for the Local Government sector. This is to enable consistent financial reporting across the sector which would then allow for ratios to be more meaningful for sector analysis.

The following is an excerpt of notes from the group;

The Working Group discussed the possibility of progressing this resolution as soon as possible, rather than waiting for the work on financial ratios to be completed. It was decided that this should be actioned as a priority. A signal from the Minister for Local Government as to whether this proposal is supported will assist in guiding the group's next steps, noting that the actual development of a model set of accounts would take time and resources. The group also noted that the Office of Auditor General (OAG) have made complementary recommendations relating to financial reporting. For example, in the <u>Audit Results Report – Annual 2018-19 Financial Audits of Local Government Entities</u>, it was recommended that DLGSC re-assess the amount of detail required to be included in annual financial reports.

### Resolved:

That WALGA advocates to the Minister for Local Government that the Department of Local Government to prepare a Model set of Financial Reports for the Local Government sector, in consultation with the Office of the Auditor General.

The concept on a model set of accounts is not new. Every other State produces one in some form. This document would be produced annually by the Department and be endorsed by the OAG.



The proposal would involve a detailed set of accounts, including notes, would be available to the industry in March of each year. The document would provide a template for Statements and Notes. These items would include references to legislation and Accounting Standards so the user can gain an appreciation as to why the information is required. Accompanying text could provide a greater understanding of the information and the cross referencing to other information. For example, the note on calculating financial ratios would include how those ratios are calculated, hence doing away with the need for Departmental Guidance Notes.

The benefits that would accrue to the Local Government sector and the community would be substantial. One set of model reports that could provide clear outcomes, rather than waiting for the annual audit to see if a Local Government had interpreted the standards and legislation correctly. Small Local Government would benefit as they may not have qualified accountants on staff and this would provide cost savings. Accessing information about each Local Government would be simplified. The audit process would also be simplified. All of these measures have the ability to lower costs incurred by Local Government in producing the annual financial report.

### Use of Debt

The sector's long held position is that the Act should allow Local Governments to use freehold land, in addition to its general fund, as security when borrowing. Currently a Local Government can only borrow against its cash. In most cases this is sufficient, however there have been examples of Local Governments borrowing for large scale infrastructure projects that would benefit from being able to use their freehold land as security.

### **Building Upgrade Finance**

Building Upgrade Finance would enable Local Governments to guarantee finance for building upgrades for non-residential property owners. In addition to building upgrades to achieve environmental outcomes, Local Governments have identified an opportunity to use this approach to finance general upgrades to increase the commercial appeal of buildings for potential tenants. In this way, BUF is viewed as means to encourage economic investment to meet the challenges of a soft commercial lease market and achieve economic growth.

### **Procurement**

WALGA has consistently supported the alignment of the tender threshold with that of the State Government and broadly supports the principle that suppliers of goods, services and works competing for contracts will benefit where procurement processes across State and Local Government has more similarities than differences.



### **Local Government Position:**

### That the Local Government sector:

- Requests the Minister for Local Government to direct the Department of Local Government to prepare a Model set of Financial Statements and Annual Budget Statements for the Local Government sector, in consultation with the Office of the Auditor General;
- 2. Requests the Department of Local Government to re-assess the amount of detail required to be included in annual financial reports, in particular for small and medium sized entities as suggested by the Office of Auditor General;
- 3. Supports Local Governments being able to use freehold land to secure debt;
- 4. Supports Building Upgrade Finance being permitted for specific purposes such as cladding, heritage and green improvements;
- 5. Supports the alignment of Local Government procurement thresholds, rules and policies with the State Government.



# **Accountability and Audit**

The <u>Local Government Review Panel Final Report</u> recommends:

- 53. The Panel recommends the role of audit committees be expanded to become Internal Audit, Risk and Improvement Committees and:
  - a. The majority of the Committee members, including the Chair, should be independent of the local government and should be drawn from a suitably qualified panel.
  - b. To address the impost on small local governments, the committee could be established on a regional basis.
- 54. The Panel recommends the main roles of the Audit, Risk and Improvement Committee should include:
  - a. Developing an audit plan which focuses on compliance, risk (including procurement), financial management, fraud control, governance and delivery of Council Plans:
  - b. Identifying continuous improvement opportunities and monitoring programs and projects in this area;
  - c. Conducting the mandatory internal audits as outlined in the audit plan; and
  - d. Providing advice to the council in relation to these matters.

### The <u>Select Committee into Local Government</u> recommends:

11. The Government give active consideration to facilitating, through the Department of Local Government, Sport and Cultural Industries, a shared internal audit service for the Local Government sector, particularly to assist small and medium councils.

### **Discussion**

The Local Government sector supports a robust self-regulation audit framework, which includes a role for the audit committee overseen by council, and a role for the Office of the Auditor General in conducting financial and performance audits of Local Government.

In accordance with the principles of self-governance and self-regulation, majority independent membership of audit committees is not supported. Oversight of the affairs of the Local Government is a fundamental role of the Council, and should not be confused by diffusing responsibility among an audit committee comprised of a majority of non-elected members. Notwithstanding, the Local Government sector acknowledges that some independent expertise may be beneficial to the audit committee process.

The Local Government sector supports a clearly defined role for the audit committee, led and overseen by the elected Council.



# **Local Government Position:**

That audit committees of Local Government, led and overseen by the Council, have a clearly defined role with an Elected Member majority.



# ATTACHMENT Item 16.2(a)

Letter from the Electoral Commission

**ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING 18 FEBRUARY 2021** 

# RECEIVED 23 DEC 2020



LGE 028

Mr Mario Romeo Chief Executive Officer Shire of Perenjori PO Box 22 PERENJORI WA 6620

Dear Mr Romeo

# Local Government Ordinary Election: 2021

The next local government ordinary elections are being held on 16 October 2021. While this is still some distance in the future, I have enclosed an estimate for your next ordinary election to assist in your 2021/2022 budget preparations.

The estimated cost for the 2021 election if conducted as a postal ballot is \$12,000 inc GST, which has been based on the following assumptions:

- 280 electors
- response rate of approximately 60%
- 3 vacancies
- count to be conducted at the offices of the Shire of Perenjori
- appointment of a local Returning Officer
- regular Australia Post delivery service to apply for the lodgement of the election packages.

An additional amount of \$56 will be incurred if your Council decides to opt for the Australia Post Priority Service for the lodgement of election packages.

Costs not incorporated in this estimate include:

- any legal expenses other than those that are determined to be borne by the Western Australian Electoral Commission in a Court of Disputed Returns
- one local government staff member to work in the polling place on election day
- any additional postage rate increase by Australia Post
- any unanticipated costs arising from public health requirements for the COVID-19 pandemic.



The Commission is required by the *Local Government Act* to conduct local government elections on a full cost recovery basis and you should note that this is an estimate only and may vary depending on a range of factors including the cost of materials or number of replies received. The basis for charges is all materials at cost and a margin on staff time only. Should a significant change in this figure become evident prior to or during the election you will be advised as early as possible.

The current procedure required by the Act is that my written agreement has to be obtained before the vote by Council is taken. To facilitate the process, you can take this letter as my agreement to be responsible for the conduct of the ordinary elections in 2021 for the Shire of Perenjori in accordance with section 4.20(4) of the *Local Government Act 1995*, together with any other elections or polls that may also be required. My agreement is subject to the proviso that the Shire of Perenjori also wishes to have the election undertaken by the Western Australian Electoral Commission as a postal election.

In order to achieve this, your council would need to pass the following two motions by absolute majority:

- Declare, in accordance with section 4.20(4) of the Local Government Act 1995, the Electoral Commissioner to be responsible for the conduct of the 2021 ordinary elections together with any other elections or polls which may be required
- Decide, in accordance with section 4.61(2) of the *Local Government Act* 1995 that the method of conducting the election will be as a postal election.

I look forward to conducting this election for the Shire of Perenjori in anticipation of an affirmative vote by Council. If you have any further queries please contact Phil Richards Manager, Election Events on 9214 0400.

Yours sincerely

Robert Kennedy

**ELECTORAL COMMISSIONER** 

16 December 2020