Held in the Shire of Perenjori Council Chambers, Fowler Street, Perenjori on 21st July 2011, commencing at 1.30pm
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11071 PRELIMINARIES

11071.1 DECLARATION OF OPENING AND ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS
Cr Chris King officially opened the meeting at 1.30p,

11071.2 OPENING PRAYER
Cr John Cunningham led council in the opening prayer

11071.3 DISCLAIMER READING

11071.4 RECORD OF ATTENDANCE, APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Present:
Cr Chris King
Cr Laurie Butler
Cr Rodney Desmond
Cr John Cunningham
Cr Cathy Johnston
Stan Scott – CEO
Doug Stead – DCEO
Kimberley Wheeler – EA
Paddy King – Community Member

Apologies:
Cr Jenny Hirsch
Cr Graeme Reid
Cr Robyn Benton

11071.5 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME
Paddy King addressed council asking why the Caravan Park Manager is not living in the Housing provided at the Caravan Park.

Mrs King sees this as a deterrent to tourists who wish to stay at the Park. One concern is that the travellers’ phones do not always have service in Perenjori and so they are unable to call the Managers number.

Mrs King also raised concern that the busiest time for the park seems to be after 4.00pm and is worried this is out of the Managers working hours.

Cr King assured Mrs King that all of these issues would be taken on board and addressed.

Mrs King also asked what the shires drug and alcohol policy is, as she is concerned that a member of staff smells strongly of Alcohol whilst at work.

The CEO Stan Scott was not aware of any issue and advised Mrs King that there are random drug and alcohol tests for Shire staff.

Cr Chris King asked the CEO to investigate the issue further.

11071.6 PETITIONS / DEPUTATIONS / PRESENTATIONS
11071.7 NOTATIONS OF INTEREST

Financial Interest – Local Government Act s 5.60A
Proximity Interest – Local Government Act s 5.60B
Interest Affecting Impartiality – Shire of Perenjori Code of Conduct.

Nil

11071.8 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

11071.9 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Minutes of Ordinary Council meeting held 16th June 2011 are attached.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved: Cr LC Butler  Seconded: Cr RP Desmond

That the minutes from Ordinary Council Meeting held on the 16th June 2011 be accepted as a true and accurate record.

Carried: 5/0

Minutes of Special Council Meeting held 28th June 2011 are attached.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved: Cr JR Cunningham  Seconded: Cr LC Butler

That the minutes from Special Council Meeting held on the 28th June 2011 be accepted as a true and accurate record.

Carried: 5/0

11071.10 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY PERSON PRESIDING WITHOUT DISCUSSION

11071.11 MATTERS FOR WHICH MEETING MAY GO BEHIND CLOSED DOORS
Executive Summary:

As required by the Local Government Act and Financial Management Regulations a Monthly Statement of Financial Activity is required to be presented to each monthly meeting. This statement is used with Councils adopted 10% variance or $5000 figure which was adopted by Council at its July meeting. A report detailing the variances is also included.

Other Financial statements are also attached as per the Finance Committee preferences.

Statutory Environment: N/A
Policy Implications: N/A
Financial Implications: N/A
Strategic Implications: N/A
Consultation: N/A

UHF Haines Norton

Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

Officers Recommendation:

1. Statement of Financial Activity
That the Statement of Financial Activity for the period ended 30th June 2011 including report on variances be accepted as presented.

2. Finance Report
That the Finance Report for the period ended 30th June 2011 be accepted as presented.
3. **Acquisition of Assets**  
That the Acquisition of Assets Report for the period ended 30th June 2011 with a balance of $1,938,125.00 as presented be received.

4. **Reserves Report**  
That the Reserves Report for the period ended 30th June 2011 with a balance of $920,536.00 as presented be received.

5. **Net Current Assets**  
That the Net Current Assets report for the period ended 30th June 2011 with a balance of $1,281,868.00 as presented be received.

6. **Bank Reconciliation's**  
That the balances of the Municipal Fund of $1,357,727.85 and the Trust Fund of $40,720.37 as at 30th June 2011 as presented be received.

7. **Sundry Creditors Report**  
That the Sundry Creditors Report for the period ended 30th June 2011 with a balance of $200,625.44 as presented be received.

8. **Sundry Debtors Report**  
That the Sundry Debtors Report for the period ended 30th June 2011 with a balance of $219,786.18 as presented be received.

9. **Accounts for Payment**  
That the Accounts for payment for the Municipal Account consisting of Cheque Numbers 17912 - 17947 and EFT Numbers 2701 - 2797 for $671,479.95 and the Trust Account consisting of Cheque Numbers 813 - 814 and EFT Numbers 2764 - 2799 for $1,434.22 for the period ended 30th June 2011 as presented be accepted.

10. **Rates Outstanding**  
That the Rates Outstanding Report for the period ended 30th June 2011 as presented be received.

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION**  
Moved: Cr RP Desmond  
Seconded: Cr LC Butler  
That recommendations 1-10 of the Statement of Financial Activity Report be accepted  
Carried: 5/0
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11073</td>
<td>HEALTH BUILDING &amp; PLANNING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11074</td>
<td>PLANT &amp; WORKS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Executive Summary:
Western Power is holding the first of several community engagement forums on Wednesday 27th July 2011.

Applicants Submission:
Community Forum

The draft Agenda for the forum is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.30-6.45:</td>
<td>Attendees arrive and examine the information provided on the project and Western Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.45-700:</td>
<td>Ella to welcome and present the overall project outline/objectives/ community involvement (ppt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.00-7.15:</td>
<td>Western Power reliability engineer to present specific Perenjori information on outages/CAUSES and Western Power funding model (ppt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.15-7.30:</td>
<td>Adrian Stewart to address the community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.30-7.50:</td>
<td>Stan Scott or Perenjori Shire to address the community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.50-8.20:</td>
<td>Community Feedback/comments/questions/issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.20-8.30:</td>
<td>Ella to close meeting. Next steps. Actions. Formation of the working group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Temporary Power Station

Western Power approached the CEO to advise of an intention to install a temporary power station in the railway reserve near the Shire Depot. They have identified repairs required to power poles through salt country that will require the power to be switched off during repairs. They intend to install a temporary power station so that power supplies may be maintained in the town during outages. They anticipate that the emergency power station will be in place for about 3 months.

Background:
The Perenjori Morawa feeder has been identified for attention because of the number of outages experienced here over an extended period.
Statutory Environment:
*Local Government Act 1995 S3.1* – the general Function of Local Government is to provide for the good government of people in its district.

**Policy Implications:**
Nil

**Financial Implications:**
Nil

**Strategic Implications:**
Unreliable power supplies is one of the liveability factors that are of concern when trying to attract new workers.

**Consultation:**
Adrian Stewart, the Regional Manager for Western Power attended a community meeting arranged by Grant Woodhams and Cr Butler some weeks ago.
Elle tribe has met with Council to outline the strategy for engaging the community.

**Comment:**
While in the initial stages community consultation does not seem to be a great solution for unreliable power supplies there will eventually be solutions or part solutions developed by and for the community.

The Agenda includes the opportunity for a Shire representative to speak.

**Voting Requirements:**
Simple Majority

**Officers Recommendation:**
That Council note the proposed community forum;
That Council note the intention to install a temporary power station near the Shire depot.

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION**
Moved: Cr RP Desmond Seconded: Cr CM Johnston
That Council note the proposed community forum;
That Council note the intention to install a temporary power station near the Shire depot.

Carried: 5/0
**Significant Outages affecting the Morawa/Perenjori Feeder**

The following table presents the significant outages that affected the Morawa/Perenjori feeder between the 10th of February, 2011 and the 29th of June, 2011. For this report, a significant outage is defined as the loss of power for longer than 5 minutes affecting more than five customers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incd #</th>
<th>Start time</th>
<th>End time</th>
<th>Duration (hrs:min)</th>
<th>Perenjori affected</th>
<th>Morawa affected</th>
<th>Customers affected</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10-Feb-2011 5:18 PM</td>
<td>10-Feb-2011 5:34 PM</td>
<td>0:15</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>Brief outage to fix faulty equipment. Problem was causing low voltages on a single phase. Customers may have experienced dim lights or equipment failure from 1:15 PM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15-Feb-2011 4:07 PM</td>
<td>18-Feb-2011 3:01 PM</td>
<td>7:04</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>12*</td>
<td>Storm caused severe pole and network damage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>26-Feb-2011 1:08 PM</td>
<td>26-Feb-2011 4:16 PM</td>
<td>3:07</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>12*</td>
<td>Storm damage caused outage. Storm damage caused a pro-longed outage on the feeder. The fault was not cleared from the network, so had to be manually identified and cleared.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>27-Feb-2011 4:16 PM</td>
<td>27-Feb-2011 5:05 PM</td>
<td>0:46</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>Storm damage caused outage. Feeder tripped due to cause below; permanent damage cleared from network by recloser; feeder restored remotely.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1-Mar-2011 6:16 PM</td>
<td>1-Mar-2011 6:16 PM</td>
<td>0:00</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Transformer damaged by lightning; half the customers were restored 24 hrs earlier.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The 12 customers affected were the same 12 rural customers just to the north of Perenjori.
# Significant Outages affecting the Morawa/Perenjori Feeder (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incd #</th>
<th>Start time</th>
<th>End time</th>
<th>Duration (hrs:min)</th>
<th>Perenjori affected</th>
<th>Morawa affected</th>
<th>Customers affected</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>14-Apr-2011 2:37 PM</td>
<td>14-Apr-2011 3:40 PM</td>
<td>1:03</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Returned to site to replace damaged pole.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>29-Jun-2011 7:09 AM</td>
<td>29-Jun-2011 9:06 AM</td>
<td>1:57</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Recloser tripped for unknown reason, power restored as soon as personnel arrived on site and switched recloser.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^ Spurs are radial extensions from the backbone of the feeder used to supply a handful (e.g. five to forty) of customers. Because less customers rely upon spurs, they are made of weaker conductor and are inspected less frequently. As such, it is not uncommon to see occasional spur failures particularly in adverse weather conditions.
Western Power community forum

Addressing power issues with the Perenjori community

Western Power encourages community members to attend the July community forum to discuss power reliability issues and form a community working group.

The forum will include:

- The opportunity for the Perenjori community to ask questions and raise issues to Western Power
- Western Power technical experts explaining current power quality and reliability issues
- Discussion about possible initiatives between Western Power and the community to help power quality and reliability

- A chance for the Perenjori community to input into Western Power’s decision making process

Date: Wednesday 27 July 2011
Venue: Perenjori Community Hall
Time: 6.30pm through to 8.30pm
Light refreshments will be provided.

For further information, please contact Western Power’s call centre on 13 10 87 or the Perenjori Shire.
Executive Summary:
FESA is inviting Council to execute and Option B Agreement with no end date.

Applicants Submission:
The Option B arrangement for ESL is the simpler and more efficient of the possible ESL arrangements and in 2010/11 was the option selected by 85% of Local Governments. FESA is offering Councils an open ended agreement for ESL collection rather than the year to year agreement that was offered in the past.

Background:
Since the introduction of ESL in 1999 Shires have been given the option each year of which set of arrangements they would like in relation to how they collect and ESL and receive commissions.

Statutory Environment:
*The Fire and Emergency Act 1998* introduced the Emergency Services Levy (ESL) as well as the obligation for Local Governments to collect the levy on behalf of FESA.

The *Shire of Perenjori Standing Orders Local Law 2000* requires a resolution of Council before the Common Seal may be used.

Policy Implications: Nil

Financial Implications:
The annual ESL commission is around $4,000.

Strategic Implications: Nil

Consultation: Nil

Comment:
The proposed agreement obviates the need to complete a new agreement each year for what is now a very well established arrangement.
Voting Requirements:
Simple Majority

Officers Recommendation:
The Council authorise the Shire President and the CEO to execute the Option B Agreement with FESA under common seal.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION
Moved: Cr JR Cunningham  Seconded: Cr RP Desmond

The Council authorise the Shire President and the CEO to execute the Option B Agreement with FESA under common seal

Carried: 5/0
11075.3  MOORE CATCHMENT COUNCIL – SHIRE REPRESENTATIVE

APPLICANT: MOORE CATCHMENT COUNCIL

FILE: 0
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST: 0

AUTHOR: STAN SCOTT – CEO

RESPONSIBLE OFFICER STAN SCOTT – CEO

REPORT DATE: 7 JULY 2011
ATTACHMENTS Letter

Executive Summary:
The term of Shire of Perenjori representative on the Moore Catchment Council is due to expire, and the MCC is seeking a new nomination.

Applicants Submission:
The MCC meets every 2 months. It is seeking community initiated projects and activities within their region.

Background:
Previous representatives have included Cr Reid and the CEO. The present representative is Mr Peter Waterhouse and he has indicated a willingness to continue in this role if the Shire would like him to.

The Moore Catchment covers the South West portion of the Perenjori Shire.

Statutory Environment:
Local Government Act 1995 S3.1 – the general Function of Local Government is to provide for the good government of people in its district.

Policy Implications: Nil

Financial Implications:
The Shire makes an annual contribution to the MCC.

Strategic Implications:
Natural Resource Management is of critical importance to agriculture which remains the mainstay of the local economy.

Consultation:
Nil

Comment:
Ideally the Shire’s representative should have some association with the part of the Shire associated with the Moore Catchment.
Voting Requirements:
Simple Majority

Officers Recommendation:
That Council renominate Mr Peter Waterhouse as the Shire representative for the Moore Catchment Council.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION
MOVED: Cr LC Butler Seconded: Cr JR Cunningham
That Council renominate Mr Peter Waterhouse as the Shire representative for the Moore Catchment Council
Carried: 5/0
Shire of Perenjori
Stan Scott
Chief Executive Officer
P.O Box 22
Perenjori WA 6620

Dear Stan,

The MOORE CATCHMENT COUNCIL’s AGM is on Friday 30th September, 2011. It has identified that the Shire of Perenjori representative position is due to expire, at present your representative is Peter Waterhouse. We invite you to nominate candidates for Shire representation at the AGM. Nomination forms are attached.

A shire representative’s role is to attend ordinary general meetings and the AGM. He or she will have full voting rights and will receive sitting fees. We would like to take this opportunity to request nominations for these positions.

Moore Catchment Council meets bi-monthly at different towns within the Catchment. Minutes from the meetings are distributed electronically, along with newsletters and appropriate reports.

Moore Catchment Council at present employs two full time Natural Resource Management Officers and an Executive Officer; they are currently working on four projects within the Catchment, those being NACC Wind Erosion Incentives and State Government NRM project Recovery and Protection of Moore River Catchments Threatened Natural Assets, Improving habitat and connectivity in the farming landscape for birds in the Moore River Catchment and Encouraging the uptake of native woody perennials establishment in the Eastern Northern Agricultural Region.

The objects of the MOORE CATCHMENT COUNCIL are to work with the community and natural resource agencies to coordinate integrated land and water management actions within the Moore River Catchment. It is important to MOORE CATCHMENT COUNCIL that the Shire of Perenjori has a shire representative in order to maintain a community initiated group that can take a coordinated and cooperative approach to local natural resource management.

If there is a project your Council feels is worthy of consideration, please contact Moore Catchment Council.

Yours sincerely,

Helen Watkins
Executive Officer
Moore Catchment Council
22nd June 2011
Executive Summary:
The purpose of this report is to obtain authorisation for the Shire President and the
CEO to execute a Deed of variation to the Mid West Regional Council Establishment
Agreement under common seal.

Applicants Submission:
No action could be taken to stop the effect of the withdrawal notices as a decision
from each member local government was required.

Legal advice has been received by the MWRC that, by virtue of the Establishment
Agreement, the 2 Shires have now withdrawn and that, as a consequence, they will
need to be readmitted. This requires a Deed of Amendment (of the Establishment
Agreement) to be executed by the remaining Shires and the 2 re-joining Shires.

The Deed will provide that the 2 Shires which have withdrawn are to be readmitted
from and including 1 July 2011. As the Deed amends the Establishment Agreement
it will also require to be approved by the Minister for Local Government. This is a

The MWRC has arranged for preparation of the required Deed and a copy of the
Deed is attached.

It is recommended that the council authorise the Shire President and the CEO to
execute the Deed under common seal. The MWRC will arrange to obtain the
Minister's approval.

Background:
In December 2009, notice of intention of withdrawal was given by the Shires of
Mingenew and Three Springs. The notices were with the intention of those Shires
withdrawing as Participants of the Mid West Regional Council. Under clause 13 of
the Establishment Agreement, these notices were to take effect on 30 June 2011.

The 2 Shires recently indicated that they wished to defer their withdrawal for a further
12 months effective 30 June 2012. This information was provided to the MWRC
meeting on 29 June 2011.

The Establishment Agreement does not allow the deferral, and this is in any event a
matter for the member Councils, not the MWRC.
Statutory Environment:

It is planned to carry out a review of the Establishment Agreement and the MWRC Strategic Plan in the forthcoming financial year. The current Deed of Amendment could not be left until that time.

*Local Government Act 1995* requires that any amendment to the Establishment Agreement must be approved by the Minister.

**Policy Implications:**

Nil

**Financial Implications:**

The cost of the Deed of Amendment of the Establishment Agreement preparation has been estimated at $2,500. This cost will be met by the MWRC and forms part of our annual contribution.

If Three Springs and Mingenew were not readmitted the potential ongoing cost of the MWRC to the two remaining members, Morawa and Perenjori, would substantially increase.

**Strategic Implications:**

It is planned to carry out a review of the Establishment Agreement and the MWRC Strategic Plan in the forthcoming financial year.

**Consultation:**

Mr John Woodhouse of Woodhouse Legal, the lawyer who drafted the original agreement, has drafted the deed.

**Comment:**

The proposed Deed is a better outcome than simply a deferral of the notice, as both Mingenew and Three Springs will be full members, and would need to give full notice of their intention to withdraw in the future. It means the MWRC is not operating with the Sword of Damocles over its head.

**Voting Requirements:**

Simple Majority

**Officers Recommendation:**

That:

1. The Shire enters into the Deed of Amendment of the Establishment Agreement for the Mid West Regional Council which is attached to and forms part of the report.

2. The Council authorise the Shire President and the CEO to execute the Deed under common seal
COUNCIL RESOLUTION
Moved: Cr RP Desmond  Seconded: Cr CM Johnston
That:

1. The Shire enters into the Deed of Amendment of the Establishment Agreement for the Mid West Regional Council which is attached to and forms part of the report.

2. The Council authorise the Shire President and the CEO to execute the Deed under common seal

Carried: 5/0
11075.5 NEW BUILDING LEGISLATION

APPLICANT: BUILDING COMMISSION
FILE: 0
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST: 0
AUTHOR: STAN SCOTT – CEO
RESPONSIBLE OFFICER STAN SCOTT – CEO
REPORT DATE:
ATTACHMENTS Correspondence from Building Commission.

Executive Summary:
New Legislation affects the role of Local Governments and building inspectors employed by Local Governments.

Applicants Submission:

Building Services Acts
In July 2011 three new Acts will come into force. Together these acts, the Building Services (Registration) Act 2011, Building Services (Complaint resolution and Administration) Act 2011 and Building Services Levy Act 2011, abolish the builders and Painters Registration Boards and the Building Surveyors Qualifications Committee and replace them with a new Building Services Board. The Building Disputes Tribunal will be replaced by a new complaints process established through the Building Commission with the support of the SAT.

The impacts of these changes are that Local Government will collect the new levy and building surveyors will now be registered by the Building Commission.

Building Act
In October 2011 the Building Act 2011 will come into force. The will reform the permitting process by separating the permitting process (which will remain a Local Government function) from the certification process which will now be open to competition. Building plans will be able to be assessed by private practice building surveyors who will certify the plans and submit them to the Local Government. The final part, the issue of a permit attracts a nominal fee. The certification is open to competition.

One possible effect is that some local governments will offer certification commercially for buildings outside their district. This means the substantial fees that previously came to the Shire for assessing building plans for mining companies could be certified by the City of canning for example, and we would issue the building permit for a $40 fee.

The State Government is also giving itself the capacity to issue permits. This may be for its own works or for projects of State significance where it is "inappropriate for the local government to be responsible for regulating the work."
Background:
The changes were flagged when the legislation was first drafted about 3 years ago.

Statutory Environment:

In October 2011 the Building Act 2011 will come into force.

Policy Implications:
Nil

Financial Implications:
It is likely that major project will no longer use the local Shire to certify building plans, which will remove an income stream.

Strategic Implications:
The changes reduce the role of Local Government dealing with major projects and as such reduce our influence.

Consultation:
The consultation process for these changes occurred about 3 years ago.

Comment:
Local Governments remain responsible for compliance but not for assessment of the compliance or otherwise with building standards. One wonders who will be held responsible if things go wrong, as Local Governments remain responsible for issuing permits. While it is not contemplated in the changes, the new legislation would allow the State to take over all building approvals for mining leases, in the same way as they provide planning consent.

Voting Requirements:
Simple Majority

Officers Recommendation:
That Council note the impending changes to the operation in building regulatory functions.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION
Moved: Cr CM Johnston Seconded: Cr JR Cunningham
That Council note the impending changes to the operation in building regulatory functions.

Carried: 5/0
Executive Summary:
LGIS is proposing a further three year extension of the Regional Risk Coordinator Program.

Applicants Submission:
The Regional Risk Coordinator project was last reviewed in 2008, and it was agreed that it would be reviewed again in 3 years. This position allows local governments to work collectively to:

- Improve OSH performance
- Reduce Work care contributions
- Address hazard management issues such as chemicals and asbestos;
- Develop best practice risk management systems.

Future activities will include:
- Compliance with national OSH harmonisation legislation proposed for 2012
- Online contractor inductions
- Online OSH System
- Disaster recovery planning

Background:
Perenjori has participated in the Regional Risk Coordinator project since it commenced in 2003.

Statutory Environment:
Local Government Act 1995 S3.1 – the general Function of Local Government is to provide for the good government of people in its district.

Policy Implications:
The program helps us keep our policies up to date and compliant.
Financial Implications:
The position is funded 50% by the 11 participating shires and the balance by LGIS. The cost of Perenjori participating for 2011/12 will be $5942.02. LGIS provides Local Governments members of the Municipal Work Cover scheme with an experience bonus that may be applied to OSH activities, including the Regional Risk Coordinator. Perenjori’s bonus is $2898.50. If this is applied to this project, the net cost will be $3,043.52.

Strategic Implications:
OSH best practice remains an important strategic priority.

Consultation:
This position provides the means for Local Governments to deal with OSH, risk management and hazard reduction collaboratively.

Comment:
Prior to the 2008 review there were concerns about the effectiveness of the program, but in the last 3 years it has been effective and represents good value for money. The systems developed through this project helped the Local Governments satisfy the demanding OSH obligations embodied in the Main Roads Agreement.

Voting Requirements:
Absolute Majority

Officers Recommendation:
1. That Council renew participation in the Midwest Regional Risk Coordinator project for a further 3 years;
2. That Council apply its LGIS experience account to this project; and
3. That Council allocate $3050 to this project in its 2011/12 budget.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION
Moved: Cr CM Johnston Seconded: Cr JR Cunningham
1. That Council renew participation in the Midwest Regional Risk Coordinator project for a further 3 years;
2. That Council apply its LGIS experience account to this project; and
3. That Council allocate $3050 to this project in its 2011/12 budget

Carried: 5/0
Dear Stan,

Re: Regional Risk Co-ordinator 3 year review

As you may be aware, it is just over 3 years since the MidWest regional risk coordinator was reformed, after a break in the programme in 2007. The programme has been successful and new initiatives have been determined as outlined in the attached document, including assisting with minimising the impact of impending changes to OSH legislation.

One of the proposed benefits of the Regional Risk Co-ordinator programme was a possible decrease in insurance contributions. Public Liability contributions calculations are based on Total Operating Revenue as well as claims experience and risk profiling. Therefore if the Total Operating revenue of the council goes up from one year to the next then their base contribution will increase and vice versa if Total Operating Revenue decreases. The Risk Profiling component of the formulae gives councils an opportunity to reduce their contribution and as part of this reduction all councils that are members of a regional risk coordination programme automatically receive a 5% reduction. In terms of WorkCare contributions, all Shires have received a reduction in their contribution to 2.80%.

An additional 3 year commitment by councils in the MidWest region is requested to allow continuation of existing programmes, with resultant further improvements in occupational safety and health and risk management. However, due to the potential impact of structural reform, local governments can advise that they wish to opt out of the programme. This advice must be in writing and received by 1 April to withdraw for the following financial year. If amalgamations occur, LGIS will provide a new proposal for the new local government entity.

As you would be aware, the City of Geraldton-Greenough and Shire of Mullewa will be amalgamating on 1 July 2011. The City of Greater Geraldton will continue to be offered membership of the region at an adjusted fee to account for services provided to the Mullewa area.

The annual contribution for Perenjori for 2011/12 will be $5,949.02 + GST and then an increase for CPI would occur each financial year. The LGIS Board has indicated they will continue funding 50% of the programme, as they are at present.

It is understood that the Shire of Perenjori has $2,898.50 in their experience account which could be used for this purpose.

Could you please review the attached document and proposal, and if in agreement, sign and return.

Yours sincerely,

Cheryl Kirwin
Team Leader - OSH & Regional Risk Management
11075.7 ASSET MANAGEMENT TRAINING

APPLICANT: INSTITUTE OF PUBLIC WORKS ENGINEERING
FILE: 0
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST: 0
AUTHOR: STAN SCOTT – CEO
_______
RESPONSIBLE OFFICER STAN SCOTT – CEO
_______
REPORT DATE: 15 JULY 2011
ATTACHMENTS Course Flyer

Executive Summary:
The IPWEA is offering a two day Asset Management Training Program targeted to small communities.

Applicants Submission:
An outline of the program is attached. The CEO will attend the August program and the Deputy CEO the November program.

Background:
The Program is based on the IPWEA’s Practice Note 4 – Asset Management for Small Rural or Remote Communities.

Statutory Environment:
Local Government Act 1995 S3.1 – the general Function of Local Government is to provide for the good government of people in its district.

Policy Implications:
Sound asset management policies will be one of the outcomes of the training.

Financial Implications:
The program costs $1100 per person. Two copies of the Practice note will be provided free to every Local Government with a population less than 5,000 by the Australian Centre for Excellence in Local Government.

Strategic Implications:
Morrison Lowe is preparing an Asset Management Plan for the Shire based on the NAMS Plus methodology

Consultation: Nil

Comment:
The program will help raise the understanding of best practice asset management.
Voting Requirements:
Simple Majority

Officers Recommendation:
That Council note that the CEO will be attending the August program immediately prior to Local Government Week.

Note: No resolution required
**Shire of Perenjori**  
**Ordinary Meeting**  
**21st July 2011**

### WORKSHOP DATES & LOCATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Dates 2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NT</td>
<td>Katherine</td>
<td>24,25 May 2011*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT</td>
<td>Alice Springs</td>
<td>TBA*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT</td>
<td>Darwin</td>
<td>27-28 July 2011*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Perth</td>
<td>2,3 August 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Adelaide</td>
<td>4,5, August 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>Dubbo</td>
<td>9,10 August*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>Tamworth</td>
<td>11,12 August*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT</td>
<td>Tennant Creek</td>
<td>16,17 August 2011*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT</td>
<td>Katherine</td>
<td>20, 21 Sept 2011*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT</td>
<td>Darwin</td>
<td>22, 23 Sept 2011*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>Griffith</td>
<td>27, 28 Sept 2011*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>Albury</td>
<td>29, 30 Sept 2011*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW</td>
<td>Port Macquarie</td>
<td>3,6 October 2011*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qld</td>
<td>TBA</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qld</td>
<td>TBA</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qld</td>
<td>TBA</td>
<td>TBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Perth</td>
<td>22,23 Nov 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Adelaide</td>
<td>24,25 Nov 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tas</td>
<td>Launceston</td>
<td>29,30 Nov 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Local Govt Reform Fund/Program dates – LGRF subsidy available for eligible councils. LGRF is a program funded by the Australian Government.

### COST
- Workshop** $1,210 (inc GST) per participant
- Practice Note 4 ***$550 (inc GST and P&H) for first copy
- $385 (inc GST and P&H) for extra copies

** Workshop fee includes 2 day workshop, morning/afternoon tea & lunch

*** Training is supported by the publication PN4 Asset Management for Small, Rural or Remote Communities.

**It is necessary that you have your copy of the PN4 for the workshop for reference and in order to get access to the website. Publication will not be available for purchase at the workshop. For more information visit [http://www.ipwea.org.au/AM4SRRC](http://www.ipwea.org.au/AM4SRRC)

### REGISTRATION & PAYMENT
To register, please complete the attached registration form.

For all enquiries please contact: Lita Somogyi at IPWEA on (02) 8267 3003,  
email admin@ipwea.org.au or visit [www.ipwea.org.au/AM4SRRC](http://www.ipwea.org.au/AM4SRRC)

### AM4SRRC - 2 Day Workshop

**IPWEA presents this new workshop for practitioners in infrastructure asset management. It is designed for Small, Rural or Remote Communities with low population and limited resources. AM4SRRC was developed with the support of the Australian Centre of Excellence for Local Government, ACELG.**

The Workshop focuses on the importance of asset management and how it relates to long-term financial planning and much more.

It is a simplified version of the widely used and nationally consistent NAMS PLUS.

The workshops are based on the concepts & guidelines presented in:

**IPWEA’s Practice Note 4 Asset Management for Small, Rural or Remote Communities.**

### Workshop Objectives
- Write your own Asset Management Plans
- Determining a risk management plan, asset management strategy, asset management policy and improvement plan.

### Key Topics Covered in the Workshops
- Levels of Service
- Risk management
- Future demand
- Lifecycle planning
- Long-term financial planning

### Who Should Attend
Technical and finance professionals responsible managing infrastructure for small, rural or remote communities with limited resources.

Applicable to local government, public works or other organisations (public or private) that rely on all types of infrastructure assets to provide services for their small communities, customers and stakeholders.

The Workshop also provides the opportunity for participants to network and share their experiences.

### Cancellation Policy
Substitution advice must be received 3 days prior to workshop. Cancellations received less than 1 week prior to workshop may be required to pay full cost. The right is reserved to defer, amend or cancel workshops.

Institute of Public Works Engineering Australia  
Level 12, 447 Kent St, SYDNEY NSW 2000  
p: (02) 8267 3001  f: (02) 9283 5255  email: admin@ipwea.org.au
11075.8 CONSTITUTIONAL RECOGNITION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT

APPLICANT: Durack Division of the Liberal Party of Australia
FILE: 0
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST: 0
AUTHOR: STAN SCOTT – CEO
RESPONSIBLE OFFICER STAN SCOTT – CEO
REPORT DATE: 9 June
ATTACHMENTS Correspondence from Durack Division President

NOTE: This Item was on the Agenda for the June 2011 Ordinary Meeting. Council resolved that this item be laid on the table pending advice from Crs King and Butler on the outcome of discussions on this issue at the National General Assembly of Local Government.

Executive Summary:

The Durack Division of the Liberal Party of Australia moved a motion, passed by the State Council of the WA Liberal Party of WA opposing constitutional recognition of Local Government.

Applicants Submission:

The Durack Motion was supported by an opinion from the State Attorney General, Christian Porter MLA in correspondence with the Shire of Dalwallinu and another document of unknown provenance entitled “Words of Warning”.

The move by the Local Government sector is being represented as a conspiracy by the left to reduce the power of the States.

Background:

At its March Ordinary Meeting Council resolved, in line with the wording suggested by ALGA, as follows:

That the Shire of Perenjori declares its support for financial recognition of Local Government in the Australian constitution so that the Federal Government has the power to fund local government directly and also for inclusion of local government in any new preamble to the constitution if one is proposed, and calls on all political parties to support a referendum by 2013 to achieve this recognition.

In May 2008 Council determined the relative priority it attaches to each of the potential benefits arising from recognition of Local Government under the Australian Constitution.

1. Simplified/Streamlined Federal Funding (Direct Commonwealth funding rather than through States) Low
2. Providing a guarantee of funding to some minimum level High
3. Symbolic recognition of local government  
4. Protection of the system of Local Government (require the States to maintain a system of Local Government)  
5. Protection for Councils against arbitrary dismissal/amalgamation (require certain minimum principles or processes to be met)  

Statutory Environment:
The Australian Constitution is the blueprint for how government at the national level works in Australia. It commenced on 1 January 1901.

The Constitution:
- Creates a new set of central government authorities (such as the Parliament, the Public Service and the Armed Forces), and outlines their functions and powers.
- Regulates the relations between the Commonwealth and the States.
- Establishes an Australian common market – free trade between the States and a common external customs tariff.

The way the Constitution is drafted gives rise to three classes of powers:
- The exclusive powers of the Commonwealth, which give the Commonwealth a monopoly to make laws, or do things. An example of this is the power to mint money.
- The concurrent powers – section 51 lists subject areas where both the Australian and states can make laws. However, if the Commonwealth makes a law, the state law yields.
- Residuary legislative powers – everything else which is not listed. These remain the responsibility of the States.
- Responsibility for local government is not mentioned anywhere in the Constitution, and is therefore the responsibility of the states.

The WA Constitution Act 1889 provides

52. Elected local governing bodies

(1) The Legislature shall maintain a system of local governing bodies elected and constituted in such manner as the Legislature may from time to time provide.

(2) Each elected local governing body shall have such powers as the Legislature may from time to time provide being such powers as the Legislature considers necessary for the better government of the area in respect of which the body is constituted.

[Section 52 inserted by No. 88 of 1979 s. 3.]

53. Certain laws not affected

Section 52 does not affect the operation of any law —
(a) prescribing circumstances in which the offices of members of a local governing body shall become and remain vacant; or
(b) providing for the administration of any area of the State —
(i) to which the system maintained under that section does not for the time being extend; or
(ii) when the offices of all the members of the local governing body for that area are vacant;

or

(c) limiting or otherwise affecting the operation of a law relating to local government; or

(d) conferring any power relating to local government on a person other than a duly constituted local governing body.

[Section 53 inserted by No. 88 of 1979 s. 3.]

In WA the Local Government Act 1995 provides the legislative framework for Local Government.

Policy Implications: Nil

Financial Implications: Nil

Strategic Implications:

There are a number of outcomes for communities which could be achieved through constitutional recognition and these are not mutually exclusive options:

• a broad recognition of local government’s role in the Federation (often referred to as – Symbolic Recognition);

• an acceptance of some principles relating to the existence and continuation of local government as an institution and local councils as democratic representative bodies (Institutional Recognition);

• a streamlined approach to local government funding resulting from a more direct financial connection between local government and the Commonwealth Government (Financial Recognition).

Consultation:

ALGA and WALGA have been discussing constitutional recognition with the sector for several years. Two previous referenda on recognition of Local Government have been defeated.

Comment:

It is disappointing that the Liberal Party State Council motion should come from the local division of the Liberal Party and the first that we hear of it is after the event. The suggestion that Local Governments who support that recognition are naïve and captives of a left wing conspiracy is not only wrong, it is insulting.

While Local Government is recognised in the State Constitution, that may be changed by a simple majority of both houses of State Parliament. Large portions of South Australia are unincorporated, and it would not be difficult to implement such a model in WA.

Minister Porter’s suggestion that we rely on the corporations power under Section 51 (xx) of the Constitution takes no account of the present debate as to whether local governments in WA are even captured by the corporations power.

Australia is a Federation and only a complete rewrite of the constitution could change that. Including a few words in the constitution requiring that a system of Local
Government be maintained should be no threat to anyone, and certainly no threat to State Governments.

Historically, no referendum has succeeded without the support of both major parties.

**Voting Requirements:**

Simple Majority

**Officers Recommendation:**

1. That the Shire of Perenjori reiterate its support for the constitutional recognition of Local Government;

2. That Council respond to the Durack Division and the State Council requesting that they consult with the Local Government Sector before mounting a campaign opposing the recognition of Local Government in the Australian Constitution.

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved: Cr LC Butler  
Seconded: Cr JR Cunningham

1. That the Shire of Perenjori reiterate its support for the constitutional recognition of Local Government.

Carried: 5/0

**Amendment**

Point 2 of officers recommendation removed due to council deciding a response is not be necessary.
Executive Summary:
Each year the WALGA Annual General Meeting considers motions from member Council on issues of concern to the sector.

Applicants Submission:
Council may wish to provide guidance to its representatives on individual matters. The motions are set out below with the CEO’s recommendations.

Secretariat Comment on the proposed amendments is as follows:

Amendments to the Constitution have stemmed from the Review of the Structure and Effectiveness of State Council and Zones conducted during the first half of 2011. There are a number of outcomes from the review which are intended to strengthen and further resource the Association’s Zones.

Most of the outcomes from the review have either been actioned or are in the process of being actioned. A number of these outcomes require the Association’s Constitution to be amended and State Council endorsed the proposed amendments at their 1 June 2011 meeting.

The proposed amendments to the Constitution can be grouped into four categories:

i. Amendments necessary to change State Council’s meeting schedule

ii. Amendments related to an ambiguity regarding the election of State Councillors

iii. Clarification regarding the expulsion of Associate Members from the Association, and

iv. The removal of redundant transitional provisions.

The first set of amendments relates to a proposal to change State Council’s meeting schedule beginning in 2012. The change to the meeting schedule will see State Council meet five times per year, at the beginning of March, May, July, September
and December. This change is intended to assist Zones by removing the need for meetings to be held in late January and around Easter.

It is necessary to amend the Constitution to change State Council’s meeting schedule because the commencement of State Councillor’s terms are currently defined in the Constitution.

The second set of amendments is to clarify an ambiguity regarding the election of State Councillors. It was always the intention that State Councillors would be elected from amongst Zone delegates.

These proposed amendments are an aspect of strengthening the Zones following the State Council and Zone review. One aspect of strengthening the Zones is ensuring State Councillor and Zone delegate accountability, in the same way that Elected Members are accountable to their community.

The Zones provide a key channel for the Association to communicate directly with Members. The Zone process is most effective if State Councillors are able to report to the Zone about the Association’s policy development and advocacy undertakings. It is equally important that Zone delegates are accountable to their Council and are able to report back about Zone and Association activities. The proposed amendments to the Constitution are intended to reinforce the communication and engagement channels between WALGA and Local Governments through democratically elected Zones. The Zone process provides all Elected Members the opportunity to remain engaged in the Local Government sector and sector-wide issues, and this ultimately benefits all of Local Government.

The third set of proposed amendments is regarding the possible expulsion of Associate Members. Currently, under the Constitution, to expel an Associate Member requires the written consent of 75 per cent of Member Councils. This is considered excessive given the nature of Associate Members; some Associate Members are private businesses for example. It is proposed that Associate Members will be able to be expelled by resolution of State Council.

The fourth set of amendments is to remove transitional provisions that were necessary for the establishment of the Association that are no longer required; previous versions of the Association’s Constitution have been archived for reference purposes.

Comment:
The proposed amendments are largely administrative and should be supported.

Officers Recommendation: Support
3.2 Container Deposit Legislation (05-050-01-0001MB)

Shire of Boddington Delegate to move:

**MOTION**

That:
1. **Western Australian Local Governments support the immediate introduction of a Container Deposit System in Western Australia to:**
   a. Reduce littering;
   b. Improve rates of recycling;
   c. Share the waste management costs; and
   d. Increase community awareness and involvement in waste management; and
2. **WALGA strongly lobbies the State Government for the immediate introduction of a Container Deposit System with Local Government collaboration.**

**Comment:**

A container deposit scheme has been in place in SA for many years. Under the CDS customers when purchasing a beverage have a small container deposit included in the price. The deposit can be recovered when the container is returned to the retailer.

Local Government is primarily responsible for waste management and containers continue to form a large part of the waste stream, and are frequently a major component of road litter.

The Motion and should be supported.

**Officers Recommendation:** Support
3.3 Local Government Amendment (Regional Subsidiaries) Bill 2010 (05-034-01-0015 TB)

Shire of Kulin to move:

MOTION

1. That this Annual General Meeting endorse the Local Government Amendment (Regional Subsidiaries) Bill 2010 as introduced into the Legislative Council by the Hon Max Trenorden MLC.

2. That WALGA write to the Minister for Local Government, as a matter of urgency, requesting his support for the Local Government Amendment (Regional Subsidiaries) Bill 2010 to ensure its successful passage through Parliament.

Comment:
At its May Ordinary Meeting Council resolved to support this Bill.

Officers Recommendation: Support

3.4 Licensing of Scrap Metal Yards (05-037-03-0004 AH)

Shire of Dardanup Delegate to move:

MOTION

The Western Australian Local Government Association requests that the Minister for the Environment, in consultation with Local Government, the Fire and Emergency Services Authority and the Department of Environment and Conservation:

1. Undertakes an investigation into the adequacy of existing regulations for all types and sizes of scrap metal yards;
2. That regulatory enhancements/amendments be developed to ensure appropriate standards of operation can be put in place by the relevant authority and
3. That the responsibilities and powers of the regulatory authorities involved be clarified.

Comment:
The CEO does not generally support additional regulation unless a need for such regulation can be demonstrated. In this case a fire at a scrap yard in Dandaragan demonstrated the lack of clarity of responsibilities between agencies, and a lack of clear safety guidelines was apparent.

The Motion and should be supported.

Officers Recommendation: Support
Comment:
Fees and Charges levied by local government need to keep pace with increasing costs. Registration is however more of a management tool for applying reasonable constraints on dog owners rather than a cost recovery tool. An increase is well overdue.

The Motion and should be supported.

Officers Recommendation: Support

Comment:
The Secretariat Comment stated in part:

The Association resolved to request the support of the Minister for Local Government to either:

a) Amend the Charitable Organisations section of the Local Government Act 1995 to eliminate exemptions for overt commercial business activities of charitable organisations; or

b) Establish a compensatory fund for Local Governments, similar to the pensioner discount provisions, if the State Government believes these types of organisations should not pay Local Government rates.
The issue continues to be significant, particularly for communities with large retired populations. The issue particularly relates to charitable institutions that operate large groups of independent living units. These units are granted a rates exemption by the State Government, but the cost is borne by Local Government. Meanwhile residents continue to consume local government services as they would if they were in a private residence.

There has been some discussion of using whether or not a facility qualifies for a federal aged care subsidy as a criterion for whether a rate exemption should be available. There has been no resolution on this approach. While it remains possible for the State to grant exemptions at no cost to the state this will remain an issue.

**Officers Recommendation:** Support

---

3.7 Local Government Award Coverage (07-004-01-0035 JP)

Shire of Perenjori Delegate to move:

**MOTION**

That:

1. The present uncertainty as to which Local Governments are or are not covered by the Federal Industrial Relations System is unacceptable;
2. Member Councils determine that they would prefer to be covered by a State based industrial relations system; and
3. WALGA request that the State Government take whatever steps are necessary to ensure that Local Government is covered by the State Industrial Relations system.

**Comment:**

This is a Shire of Perenjori motion agreed by Council at its May Meeting. The comment provided by the CEO in support of the Motion is as follows:

Recently State Council accepted a recommendation from Workplace Solutions that WALGA amongst other things:

― That State Council .... supports the view that member Local Governments continue to be considered ‘National System Employers’ (NSE) for the purposes of the Fair Work Act 2009."

This decision was taken without consulting with member Councils, many of whom are not Workplace Solutions customers and would not share its views. Indeed many have been involved in the development of new State Awards, and this work will have been wasted if the Workplace Solutions view prevails.

WALGA performs dual roles as an industry advocate and a service provider. It is always of concern when the lines between these functions become blurred. In the industrial relations field they appear to have by passed the consultation process that you would expect in an advocacy role, and used the good offices of WALGA to advance a partisan agenda promoted by its service provider, Workplace Solutions.
Under present arrangements Councils will be captured by Federal arrangements if they are constitutional corporations. It is possible that some Local Governments will be found to be constitutional corporations and others will not. This latter group will mostly consist of smaller rural Shires that do not have significant enterprise activity (and in many cases are not Workplace Solutions customers).

Workplace Solutions provided four options to State Council. The option they did not present was following the South Australian approach of state legislation explicitly excluding Local Governments as a State created entity from the referral of powers to the Commonwealth. One wonders whether Workplace Solutions sees a competitive advantage in operating in the Federal sphere.

Regardless of the merits of the final approach, it needs to be an approach that is agreed by the sector after consultation, not what suits Workplace Solutions.

The Secretariat provided a lengthy response which it summarises as follows:

It would be appropriate for the AGM to consider the significant amount of work invested by WALGA, CCIWA, the WALGA zones and by State Council on this issue, and to support the choice of over 80% of member Councils (with 92% of employees within their Local Government) to remain within the Federal system, and to continue to advocate with the State Government for resolution of any existing uncertainty as resolved by the WALGA State Council.

The CEO agrees that the AGM would be an appropriate forum to debate the issue and determine a sector wide view. The existence of two parallel systems and two well considered but conflicting views highlights the difficulty of this issue. The fact that smaller Councils represent a very much smaller proportion of the Local Government workforce does not mean that their needs are irrelevant.

**Officers Recommendation:** Support

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION**

Moved: Cr CM Johnston  
Seconded: Cr LC Butler

That council support all of the officer recommendations for the WALGA AGM Agenda except item 3.5 Review of Dog Registration Fees (06-012-03-0012) where an increase in fees is not supported.

Carried: 5/0
Executive Summary:

The recent National General Assembly of Local Government explored the use of social media such as Facebook as a communication tool.

Applicants Submission:

Cr King and Cr Butler attended the National General Assembly of Local Government in Canberra in June 2011. Two of the observations from that conference have been:

- Communication issues between Councils and their constituents are endemic throughout the industry regardless of the size of the Local Governments; and
- The use of social media, primarily Facebook and Twitter, to communicate with stakeholders, particularly young people, is being seen as part of the solution.

While it easy to see social media as the saviour of corporate communications in the twenty teens we need to consider the safeguards (including the cost), the protocols and policies to underpin the communication strategy.

Background:

The Shire has recently recruited a new administrative officer with extensive experience in computer networking, and particularly network security. She has serious concerns about some of the risks attached to social media, and these risks are set out in the attachment.

Her concerns are shared by Leading Edge Computers, the company responsible for maintaining our server.

According to Wikipedia: The term social networking refers to the use of web-based and mobile technologies to turn communication into interactive dialogue.

Some statistics also from Wikipedia:

- Twitter processed more than one billion tweets in December 2009 and averages almost 40 million tweets per day
- Australia has some of the highest social media usage in the world. In usage of Facebook Australia ranks highest, with over 9 million users spending almost 9 hours per month on the site.
• The number of social media users age 65 and older grew 100 percent throughout 2010, so that one in four people in that age group are now part of a social networking site.

• As of June 2011 Facebook has 750 Million users

Social media is credited with being a significant catalyst to recent popular uprisings in the Middle East.

Statutory Environment:

Local Government Act 1995 S3.1 – the general Function of Local Government is to provide for the good government of people in its district.

Policy Implications:

Use of Social Media requires a durable policy framework.

Financial Implications:

There may be a cost involved in strengthening the security of our network to minimise the identified risks.

Strategic Implications:

Best practice in this area suggests that it is unwise to embark on social networking as communications medium until you have a communications strategy to back it up.

Consultation:

Social Media was a topic of discussion at the national conference.

Comment:

There is certainly evidence that many Perenjori residents, particularly younger people use Facebook. Most recent interpersonal disputes have involved perceived slights on Facebook. Given the nature of the medium, a Shire presence together with high local usage does not guarantee that users will link to or access Shire messages.

Worse it is possible that it may have the effect of attracting criticism. The reason Facebook is at the centre of so many disputes is that postings are often not well thought out and considered, and often one critic will become many simply because it is fun.

In some ways a Facebook page amplifies some of the issues with other internet content, except that with our website you need new material at least each month, with a Facebook page it looks stale if not updated at least every few days, and preferably more often.

Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

Officers Recommendation:

That the CEO seeks advice on the development of a community communication strategy, including recommendations on the use of social media.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved: Cr LC Butler  Seconded: Cr CM Johnston

That Council develops a community communication strategy, including recommendations on the use of social media for the September Ordinary Council meeting

Carried: 5/0
Amendment

The resolution was amended as council determined that it was important to set a reasonable deadline for the development of the strategy.
**MIDWEST ECONOMIC & RESOURCES SUMMIT**

**APPLICANT:** SHIRE OF PERENJORI  
**FILE:** 0  
**DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST:** 0  
**AUTHOR:** STAN SCOTT – CEO  
**RESPONSIBLE OFFICER** STAN SCOTT – CEO  
**REPORT DATE:** 7 JULY 2011  
**ATTACHMENTS** Invitations to Climate Change forum and Business After Hours

**Executive Summary:**
Midwest Chamber of Commerce and Industry is hosting its second summit on 23 and 24 August 2011.

**Applicants Submission:**
The program for the event includes the following speakers:

**Miners**
- Rob Jefferies, CEO Geraldton Iron Ore Alliance
- Steve Murdoch, CEO Karara Mining Ltd: Project update
- Bill Mackenzie, Managing Director Asia Iron Holdings Ltd: Project update
- Andy Caruso, CEO Crosslands Resources Ltd: Project update
- Phil Allsopp, GM Operations Sinosteel Midwest Corporation Ltd: Project update
- Brett Manning, Managing Director Ferrowest Ltd: Project update
- Bruce McQuitty, Managing Director Sheffield Resources Ltd: Project update

**Infrastructure Organisations**
- John Langoulant, CEO Oakajee Port and Rail
- Mark Leathersich, Manager Infrastructure Planning Water Corporation Horizon Power
- Peter Klein, CEO Geraldton Port Authority
- Paul Larsen, CEO WestNet Rail: State rail infrastructure
- David Welch, QR National Freight
- John Hackett, Industrial General Manager LandCorp: Oakajee industrial estate
Other Speakers

Tony Brun, CEO City of Geraldton - Greenough
John Nicolaou, Chief Economist Chamber of Commerce and Industry Western Australia: State economy overview
Antony Shinckel, ASKAP Director CSIRO Astronomy and Space Science
Brian Lacy, Administrator Indian Ocean Territories
Kate Cornick, Executive Director Institute for a Broadband Enabled Society
Gary Stokes, Managing Director Padbury Mining Limited: Project update
Dr William Ardrey, Chairman Midwest Aero Medical
James McMahon - Key note address: Leadership in the field

The CEO and President have been advised of a potential visit to Perenjori of senior politicians from the State Government on 24 August, so attendance at the Summit may be for Day 1 only.

Background:
The CEO president and Deputy President attended last year’s event.

Statutory Environment:

Local Government Act 1995 S3.1 – the general Function of Local Government is to provide for the good government of people in its district.

Policy Implications: Nil

Financial Implications:

All events are free except for the Midwest Economic & Resources Summit which costs around $500 per head, including the conference dinner, plus accommodation. There is no provision for day registration, so to attend for a single day is the same price.

Strategic Implications: Nil

Consultation: Nil

Comment:
The CEO and President will need to be in Perenjori on 24 August, and other elected members are also welcome to meet with visiting politicians. Other may wish to attend the summit.

Voting Requirements:

Simple majority

Officers Recommendation:
The Council determine whether to send representatives to attend the Midwest Economic & Resources Summit.

Note: There were no nominations by elevated members to attend this event.
2.27pm – Item 11075.12 Draft Budget deferred to the end of the meeting.

11075.12 2011/12 DRAFT BUDGET

Executive Summary:
This item sets out the main points of the Draft Budget to be considered by Council. Council can make changes to be incorporated for final adoption at the August Ordinary meeting, or if the changes will be substantial, or Councils needs more extensive workshopping of the budget a Special Meeting can be arranged.

Applicants Submission:

REVENUE

Financial Assistance Grants (FAGS) – General Purpose

FAGS grants are Federal Grants to Local Government delivered via a State based Local Government Grants Commission. Funding is distributed in two components, the first of which is General purpose funding.

General Purpose funding which is delivered by a complex series of calculations based around “horizontal equalisation” to ensure that small local governments retain the capacity to deliver services. As the methodology is under review this year’s allocation is based on calculations some years old, with a percentage increase based on the increase in the size of the pool. For the third year in succession 25% of the grant has been paid in advance before the end of the previous financial year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2010-11</th>
<th>2011-12</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAGS Grant</td>
<td>$715,928</td>
<td>$741,460</td>
<td>3.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Advance</td>
<td>$536,946</td>
<td>$556,095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Financial Assistance Grants (FAGS) – Untied Road Grant

Untied Road Grants are provided based on the asset preservation model. The information provided by Local Governments to Main Roads through the Roman’s Road Database is collated by the Grants Commission. The funding required to maintain that asset base is calculated and Local Governments receive a share based on their proportion of the asset base. The Perenjori asset base now includes the
upgraded Wanarra and Mungada Roads. For the third year in succession 25% of the grant has been paid in advance before the end of the previous financial year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2010-11</th>
<th>2011-12</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Untied Road Grant</strong></td>
<td>$657,604</td>
<td>$743,648</td>
<td>13.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Less Advance</strong></td>
<td>$493,203</td>
<td>$557,736</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Roads to Recovery**

Roads to Recovery is a Federal roads program that is now in its third four year funding period. This year’s funding allocation is marginally lower than last year’s. It is a condition of Roads to Recovery funding that Council maintain its own investment in its roads program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2010-11</th>
<th>2011-12</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Roads to Recovery</strong></td>
<td>$364,217</td>
<td>$363,163</td>
<td>-0.29%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**State Direct Road Maintenance Grant**

This grant is paid to Local Governments through Main Roads WA again based on the size of the Local Government asset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2010-11</th>
<th>2011-12</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct Road Grant</strong></td>
<td>$106,552</td>
<td>$128,624</td>
<td>20.71%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Regional Roads Group**

Regional Road Group funding is a competitive funding program where state road funding is distributed through regionally based representative committees that help develop the rules for funding distribution. The main factors that influence funding is whether the work is preservation or construction (preservation rates higher) the significance of the road (in a regional hierarchy agreed by the region) and the traffic load on the road.

The distribution formula ensures that each local government will receive a minimum grant. In the last few years Perenjori has received only the minimum grant. This year however we have managed to gain funding for a larger project. RRG funding is 2 for 1 – the Local Government is required to contribute $1 for every $2 of state funding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2010-11</th>
<th>2011-12</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regional Road Group</strong></td>
<td>$50,820</td>
<td>$185,903</td>
<td>265.81%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Royalties for Regions – Country Local Government Fund – Local**

Country Local Government Fund provides funding directly to local government for investment in infrastructure projects. It must be used for infrastructure, and cannot be used for operational purposes. This year CLGF is split 50/50 between local and regional projects, last year it was split 65% local, 35% regional. The allocation this
year is higher than anticipated. It is a condition of CLGF that Council has a Forward Capital Works Plan (FCWP). A revised FCWP will be provided for consideration at the July meeting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Royalties for Regions CLGF Local</th>
<th>2010-11</th>
<th>2011-12</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$429,749</td>
<td>$377,771</td>
<td>-12.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royalties for Regions CLGF Local</td>
<td>Projected 11-12</td>
<td>Actual 11-12</td>
<td>Difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$329,000</td>
<td>$377,771</td>
<td>14.82%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Royalties for Regions – Country Local Government Fund – Regional

The Regional Component of CLGF is distributed by agreement within Local Government groupings for regionally significant projects. Perenjori is grouped with its structural reform partners, also the remaining members of the MWRC. Last year’s regional projects were the Dentist’s surgery at Three Springs, the upgrade to the Depot Hill Crossing in Mingenew and a contribution to the regional drive trail from Dalwallinu to Geraldton.

The combined allocations within the region are set out below. This gives the region a funding pool of over $1.3 Million to bid for. Perenjori is seeking an allocation of $500,000 from the pool this year for the Early Childhood Development Centre, and $24,000 towards a joint Morawa Perenjori Drive Trail.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Government</th>
<th>Allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mingenew</td>
<td>$283,823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morawa</td>
<td>$358,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perenjori</td>
<td>$377,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Springs</td>
<td>$312,939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$1,333,111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Royalties for Regions – RDC Action Agenda

The Shire is submitting a funding application for $800,000 for the Child Development Centre. This is included in the budget, but if not successful there are at least two other grant programs that can be followed up as an alternative.

**LotteryWest**

The Shire is seeking a grant of $70,000 for a new community bus. Lottery west will be approached for half the cost, with the balance coming from the Community Bus Reserve.

**Other Approved Grants**

The Shire has a number of other grants that contribute to its operations, or are agreed for particular projects subject to conditions. Details of other grants included in the budget are detailed below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FESA</td>
<td>Operating Grant</td>
<td>$15,760</td>
<td>Agreed expenditure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWDC</td>
<td>Regional Dev Scheme</td>
<td>$170,000</td>
<td>4 Bed Flat Pack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Dept of WA</td>
<td>Swimming Pool</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>Operating Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept of Sport &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>CSRFF</td>
<td>$99,066</td>
<td>Tennis / Netball</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dept of Sport &amp; Recreation</td>
<td>CSRFF</td>
<td>$67,233</td>
<td>Synthetic Bowling Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Roads WA</td>
<td>Street Lighting</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>Operating Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWDC</td>
<td>Regional Dev Scheme</td>
<td>$170,000</td>
<td>Karara 15 Yr Lease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rates Revenue**

The budgeted rate collection for this financial year is $2,254,833. This is the first time that Council’s rate revenue will exceed $2 Million.

The break up of rates revenue is set out in the relevant schedule, but is summarised below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2010 -11</th>
<th>2011 -12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural UV Rates</td>
<td>$1,288,553</td>
<td>$1,334,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRV Rates - Townsites</td>
<td>$63,611</td>
<td>$66,839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining UV Rates</td>
<td>$422,804</td>
<td>$454,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining GRV Rates</td>
<td>$158,140</td>
<td>$494,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments in Lieu of Rates</td>
<td>$14,344</td>
<td>$12,497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments (discounts/ costs / ESL Int)</td>
<td>-$103,659</td>
<td>-$108,725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Rates</strong></td>
<td>$1,843,793</td>
<td>$2,254,831</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Perenjori’s revenue has grown substantially over the last 10 years, as is indicated on the graph below. This year projected total revenue will be over $8 Million. This almost 3 times the revenue from just a decade ago, and more than double 2007-08.
EXPENDITURE

Capital Expenditure

The Draft Budget includes Capital Expenditure of over $4.5 Million this year. This is boosted substantially by projects carried in from 2010-11 that could not be completed due to delays in accessing the CLGF allocation. Carried in projects are detailed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Carried In</th>
<th>Grants</th>
<th>Borrowings</th>
<th>Reserves</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sea Containers (Archives)</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generator - Power Back Up</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HACC Storage Shed</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,500</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Centre Kitchen</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining House (Flat Pack 2)</td>
<td>$170,000</td>
<td>$220,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$390,000</td>
<td>$390,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Housing</td>
<td>$232,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$552,000</td>
<td>$552,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caravan Park Ablution &amp; Office</td>
<td>48000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$112,000</td>
<td>$160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Depot Redevelopment</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$165,000</td>
<td>$165,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road Maintenance Truck</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$470,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$187,000</td>
<td>$1,327,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$1,327,000
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The Capital Expenditure Schedule only includes capital purchases expected to cost $2,000 or more. Small purchases will be treated as expenses and included in operational expenditure.

The budget incorporates all of the projects included in the Forward Capital Works Plan except for the proposed Water Harvesting project for the construction of a new dam south of the townsite. The CEO is negotiating a water license for water to be delivered via the Extension Hill water pipeline. The capital for water harvesting may be better preserved for piping water the final 2 or 3 kilometres to where it will be used.

New capital expenditure is set out in the schedule, but the major items are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Projects</th>
<th>Funding Grants</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child Development Centre</td>
<td>$1,400,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Centre (Additional)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$16,500</td>
<td>$16,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td></td>
<td>$57,100</td>
<td>$57,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennis / Netball / Bowls</td>
<td>$315,070</td>
<td>$184,930</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Drive Trail</td>
<td>$96,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$96,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latham Sports Club</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latham Townscape</td>
<td></td>
<td>$19,500</td>
<td>$19,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latham Library</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant Items (Excluding Maint. Truck)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$310,900</td>
<td>$310,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Operational Expenditure**

Council usually consider the budget line by line, but there are a few changes that are identified below that Council should be aware of.
Another change in this year’s budget is to separate cleaning costs from general maintenance. The Shire has recently made a real effort to improve the quality of cleaning services to shire facilities and public buildings. There is now a separate cleaning schedule so we can better monitor the costs.

**Borrowings**

The Budget includes two new debentures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Carried In</th>
<th>Borrowings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mining House (Flat Pack 2)</td>
<td>$220,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Housing</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$470,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It also includes renegotiating a 3rd loan. Loan 100, the second swimming pool loan, was taken as an interest only loan for three years with repayment in full in year 3 (2011-12). It is proposed to roll this loan into a longer term loan to match the period of Loan 99, the first swimming pool loan.
Reserves

There will be a small net increase in reserves from year to year. This is a combination of withdrawals for housing and the community bus, increase earned and additions particularly for joint venture housing, the swimming pool and the caravan park village.

Included in the budget is a separate item to review Council’s reserves. The Local Government Act has a complex process for changing the purpose of a reserve, or using reserve funds for a different purpose – except if the changes are adopted as part of Council’s Annual Budget. The best time to review reserves is during budget deliberations.

Background:

The budget incorporates all of the projects included in the Forward Capital Works Plan except for the proposed Water Harvesting project.

Statutory Environment:

The Local Government Act 1995 6.2 sets out the requirement for, and the content of, the Shire’s annual budget.

Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996, Part 3 sets out further requirements in relation to the form of the annual budget.

Policy Implications:

Nil

Financial Implications:

The Annual Budget is the primary financial management tool for Council.

Strategic Implications:

Council’s strategic projects, as identified in the FCWP, are included in the budget.

Consultation:

The budget document gives effect to Council’s decisions and matter of community concern. The iconic project in this year’s budget is the Early Childhood Development Centre which is driven by community demand for particularly child care services.

Comment:

This month the bulk of the Council forum will be devoted to discussion of the main elements of the budget. The intention of the CEO is to gather feedback and direction from Council and edit the budget to reflect those changes.

If council would like more time to review the budget, or workshop the contents we can arrange a special meeting prior to the August Ordinary Meeting. If council is satisfied that it has had sufficient opportunity to examine and modify the document the amended version can be brought back directly to the August meeting for adoption.

Council is required to adopt its annual budget by 31st August.

Voting Requirements:

Simple Majority
Officers Recommendation:
That Council endorse the budget with the following changes:

That Council consider a revised budget including these changes at the August Ordinary Meeting of Council. OR

That Council convene a Special Meeting at (time) on (date) to workshop the budget and make further changes.

Note: Council examined the budget in detail but no resolutions were adopted.
Executive Summary:
It is proposed that Council authorise the changeover of the CEO vehicle prior to adoption of the final budget.

Applicants Submission:
The CEO vehicle is scheduled for replacement annually. WALGA has advised that the current Local Government offer on the Holden Caprice expires on 31 July 2011. If we changeover the Caprice prior to 31 July 2011 the changeover cost will be $4,592. After 31 July the changeover cost will be $8,592.

Background:
Council decided to purchase the current Holden Caprice because of the excellent discounts available to Local Government.

Statutory Environment:
*Local Government Act 1995* S3.1 – the general Function of Local Government is to provide for the good government of people in its district.

Policy Implications:
Nil

Financial Implications:
If the changeover proceeds prior to 31 July Council will save $4,000.

Strategic Implications:
Nil

Consultation:
Nil

Comment:
The current mileage on the Caprice is approximately 19,000 km.

Voting Requirements:
Absolute Majority
Officers Recommendation:
That Council allocate a budget of $5,000 to changeover the CEO vehicle and
authorise the changeover to proceed prior to final adoption of the budget.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION
Moved: Cr CM Johnston             Seconded: Cr LC Butler
That Council allocate a budget of $5,000 to changeover the CEO vehicle and
authorise the changeover to proceed prior to final adoption of the budget
Carried: 5/0
Executive Summary:
The Perenjori Agricultural Society has requested support for and additional attraction at this year’s Show.

Applicants Submission:
The Perenjori Agricultural Society wrote in the following terms:

*The Ag. Society would like enquire if the Shire has additional funding available to put towards obtaining Go-carts which cost $4400.0 GST Inc. to attend this year’s show on the 20th August.*

*If an amount of $3000.00 is available, the Ag. Society has $1000.00 to put towards the cost of the Go-carts.*

Background:
Council has already agreed to a $5,000 donation from Dry Season Funding towards the costs of the Band for Saturday night. Last year Council contributed $9,000 including a part of the cost of a new marquee.

Statutory Environment:
*Local Government Act 1995* S3.1 – the general Function of Local Government is to provide for the good government of people in its district.

Policy Implications: Nil

Financial Implications:
Council would need to increase the allocation in the draft budget to accommodate this request, or allocate more of the dry season funding.

Strategic Implications: Nil

Consultation: Nil

Comment:
Council has the option of acceding to the request, declining additional support or offering a different amount from that requested. There is no doubt that the go-carts would be a popular attraction.
Voting Requirements:
Absolute Majority

Officers Recommendation:
That Council Determine whether to provide additional support for this year’s show.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION
Moved: Cr RP Desmond Seconded: Cr JR Cunningham
That Council provide additional support of $3,000 for this year’s show
Carried: 5/0
Shire of Perenjori

AGENDA

21st July 2011

PO Box 46
Perenjori
WA 6620

Phone: 99734033
Fax: 99734032
rijikings@westnet.com.au

Perenjori & Districts
Agricultural Society

A.B.N: 31 163 849 680
Show Date: 20th August 2011

Stan Scott
CEO Shire of Perenjori
Box 22
Perenjori 6620

14th July 2011

Dear Stan,

The Ag. Society would like to enquire if the Shire has additional funding available to put towards obtaining Go-carts which cost $4400.00 GST Inc. to attend this year’s show on the 20th August.

If an amount of $3000.00 is available, the Ag. Society has $1000.00 to put towards the cost of the Go-carts.

Hopeing for a favourable reply.

Regards
P King
Secretary
11075.15 OLD DEPOT RENTAL

APPLICANT: SHIRE OF PERENJORI
FILE: ADM0420
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST:
AUTHOR: BEV LOGUE - CDO
RESPONSIBLE OFFICER: BEV LOGUE - CDO
REPORT DATE: 10/06/2011
ATTACHMENTS

NOTE: At the June Ordinary Meeting Council resolved: That item 11065.12 Old Depot Rental be laid on the table until next meeting when more information is available to Council.

Executive Summary:
Request for Council to set a rate for the rental of a shed at the Old Depot site for recreational or hobby purposes by a staff member.

Applicants Submission:
With regard to the development of the Perenjori Business Hub at the old depot site I have been approached by a staff member to lease or rent shed 4 as per the lay out below for recreational or hobby activities. I request direction from Council as to the amount to be set and the conditions of rental. The staff member has offered to secure the premises by ensuring the doors close correctly for some consideration of reduced rent. The staff member requires additional space mainly for storage purposes with some restoration/repair work to be carried out as well.
Background:
The development of Units 2A, 2B and 2C as per the diagram above would not be impacted by this agreement. The staff member anticipates accessing the area mostly on weekends or out of working hours. Shed 4 is not part of the initial area of redevelopment. The staff member is aware of the limitations of the duration of availability due to future redevelopment.

At present there is not any capacity to separate the electricity usage for any one area and the staff member advises that the proposed usage would be minimal. Given that the depot has an accumulated previous history to compare against this can be monitored if the proposal is successful.

Statutory Environment:
Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 Part 6 Miscellaneous 30. Dispositions of property to which section 3.58 of Act does not apply
30(2)(e) it is the leasing of land for a period of less than 2 years during all or any of which time the lease does not give the lessee the exclusive use of the land.

Policy Implications:
Nil

Financial Implications:
This would be of minimal impact.

Strategic Implications:
Nil

Consultation:
Nil

Comment:
Nil

Voting Requirements:
Simple Majority

Officers Recommendation:
That Council authorise the CEO to rent the shed on a month to month basis to a staff member.

That Council determine an appropriate rate of rental and any other conditions.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION
Moved: Cr CM Johnston  Seconded: Cr LC Butler
That council readvertise for the temporary use of the old depot pending redevelopment

Carried: 5/0
11076 OTHER BUSINESS

11076.1 INFORMATION BULLETIN – TABLED IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS

- WALGA Local Government News – Issues 19.11 – 25.11
- WALGA Info Page – 13/5/2011 Removal of Schedule 2 from Environmental Protection Regulations
- WALGA info Page - 25/05/2010 National Road Safety Strategy 2011-2020
- WALGA Info Page – 24/06/2011 Update on reforms to Home and Community Care (HACC)
- WALGA Info Page – 03/06/2011 Draft Standard for Rural and Urban Addressing
- WALGA Memo – 18/05/2011 Flood Damage Claims for Road Infrastructure
- WALGA – MWAC Information Bulletin Issues 159 and 150
- WALGA Economic Briefing – May 2011
- WALGA Safe System News – Issue 11
- Rural Health West Board Communiqué – May 2011
- Correspondence from Minister for Local Government regarding Amalgamation of the Shires of Mingenew, Morawa, Perenjori and Three Springs
- Correspondence from WALGA regarding The WALGA Presidents Local Government Diploma Scholarship (2010)
- Correspondence from The University of Western Australia regarding Practical Completion of Perenjori ‘House 1’ at Lot 354 Timmings Street
- Correspondence from Main Roads regarding Integrated Services Arrangement
- Circular No 16-2011 Minister for Local Government regarding Commitment to Local Government Reform Following 2011-12 State Budget
- Circular No 17-2011 Minister for Local Government regarding Review of Perth Metropolitan Boundaries and Governance Models
- Discrimination Matters – May 2011
- ACELG 2010 Annual Report
- RDP Matters Edition 5 – 2011
- Update – Issue 30
- Neighbourhood Watch Australasia Incorporated – Volume 6 Issue 1
- disability Update – Issue 49
- Property Australia Volume 20 No 9
- Government News – Volume 31 Issue 3
Shire of Perenjori
Ordinary Meeting
AGENDA
21st July 2011

- Head 2 Head Volume 4 Number 1
- WA Mining and Petroleum Bi-annual 2011
- Indigenous Newslines – May-June 2011
- Aged Care Insite – Issue 65
- Mining Chronicle – Volume 16 number 7

11076.2 MOTIONS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAVE BEEN GIVEN

11076.3 QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN
MOVED: Cr CM Johnston  
Seconded: Cr JR Cunningham  
That items 11076.4.1, 11076.4.2, 11076.4.3 and 11076.4.4 be accepted as urgent business.

Carried: 5/0

11076.4.1 BLUE HILLS PROPOSAL

APPLICANT: Briety Ltd
FILE: 0
DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST: Nil
AUTHOR: Trevor Brandy EHO/Building Officer
RESPONSIBLE OFFICER: Trevor Brandy EHO/Building Officer
REPORT DATE: 30 June 2011
ATTACHMENTS: Site Plan

Executive Summary:
Council is in receipt of an application from Briety Ltd to construct a Mining Workshop and associated facilities on the Karara Mine site, Mungada Road Perenjori. The application is part of a $1.5 million expansion program for the Blue Hills Project.

Applicants Submission:
Briety Ltd is expanding their Blue Hills operation on behalf of Karara Mining Ltd.

Statutory Environment:
Shire of Perenjori Town Planning Scheme No1

Policy Implications:
Nil

Financial Implications:
Building and Planning fees are applicable with this application.

Strategic Implications:
Nil
Consultation:
Shire of Perenjori Town Planning Scheme No1.

Comment:
The Shire of Perenjori Town Planning Scheme No1 recognises to the use as an “AA” use giving Council permission to approve on Pastoral Zoning.

Voting Requirements:
Simple Majority

Officers Recommendation:
That Council approve the 1.5 million proposed extensions to the Blue Hills Project subject to the appropriate fees being forthcoming.

Note: This item could not be determined as Cr Desmond declared an interest and as a result there was not a quorum. CEO to poll elected members.
**11076.4.2 ANCILLARY ACCOMMODATION PROPOSAL**

**APPLICANT:** Thermal Comfort Homes  
**FILE:** 0  
**DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST:** Nil  
**AUTHOR:** Trevor Brandy EHO/Building Officer  
**RESPONSIBLE OFFICER:** Trevor Brandy EHO/Building Officer  
**REPORT DATE:** 17 June 2011  
**ATTACHMENTS** Site Plan

**Executive Summary:**
Council is in receipt of an application from Thermal Comfort Homes Pty Ltd to construct Ancillary Accommodation on lot 351 Timmings Street, Perenjori, for the owner Mr J Gilpin.

**Applicants Submission:**
The applicant proposes to transport a single bed room, colour bond unit to the lot where the main dwelling is being built at present.

**Statutory Environment:**
Shire of Perenjori Town Planning Scheme No1

**Policy Implications:**
Council has no Policy on this type of request.

**Financial Implications:**
Building fees are applicable with this application.

**Strategic Implications:**
Traditionally, Ancillary Accommodation on a residential lot has not been permitted but with changes in acceptance and resale value of the property in certain circumstances these applications have been permitted on Special Rural/Residential lots only.

**Consultation:**
Shire of Perenjori Town Planning Scheme No1.  
Mal Simmonds – Thermal Comfort Homes  
Residential Design Codes
Comment:

A condition of approval usually involves a requirement to only have a direct member of the family be housed in the unit, the applicant has mention that this probably won’t be the case in this situation.

Policies viewed from other Local Authorities indicated these types of applications are being Considered more favourably to accommodate members of the family on lots greater than 3 hectares in size.

Councils Town Planning Scheme 3.3.5 suggests that;

If the use of land for a particular purpose is not specifically mentioned in the Zoning Table and cannot reasonably be determined as falling within the interpretation of one of the use categories the Council may;

b) Determine by absolute majority that the proposed use may be consistent with the objectives and purpose of the zone and therefore follow the advertising procedure of clause 6.2 in considering an application for planning consent.

Council needs to decide if they want to stay with these requirements or to allow non family members to reside in the unit.

Voting Requirements:

Absolute Majority

Officers Recommendation:

That advertise the application for a period of 21 days in a local newspaper circulating within the district.

That upon no adverse response being received, Council approve the application from Thermal Comfort Homes to place an Ancillary Accommodation unit on lot 351 Timmings Street Perenjori.

That no approval will be granted in the future for any proposed subdivision on the lot.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved: Cr RP Desmond  
Seconded: Cr CM Johnston

That approval not be granted for the application from Thermal Comfort Homes to place an ancillary accommodation unit on Lot 351 Timmings St Perenjori.

Carried: 5/0
Executive Summary:
The Perenjori Community Resource Centre is seeking a continuation of Council’s support into 2011-12.

Applicants Submission:
For the last 4 years Council has been providing financial support for the Perenjori Community Resource Centre, and the CEO is seeking to extend that support for a further year, and arrange for early payment of that support.

The CRC delivers both post office services and community resource centre services. The CRC has also taken over publishing of the “Bush Telegraph” which was previously put together by volunteers.

Because of a series of staff changes and difficulties finding a new coordinator the end of year acquittal and the lodgement of the new annual business plan have been delayed, which may result in delays ion the grant from RDL. The early payment of the Shire’s contribution would make a significant contribution to cash flow in the interim.

Background:
Support over the last 3 years is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>$10,000 Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000 Interest Free Loan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td>$20,000 Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>$15,000 Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000 Repay Loan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Community resource Centre is seeking the Shire’s continued support.

Statutory Environment:
*Local Government Act 1995* S3.1 – the general Function of Local Government is to provide for the good government of people in its district.
Policy Implications:
Nil

Financial Implications:
The proposed contribution is consistent with the contribution over the last two years.

Strategic Implications:
The CRC delivers a number of essential services including Post Office and the Bush Telegraph.

Consultation:
Both the CEO and CDO are on the CRC committee.

Comment:
The CEO is confident that the Community Resource Centre will soon be back on an even keel. The following plans are in place:

- A new coordinator will commence work in August;
- A new bookkeeper has been identified to improve record keeping;
- A Shire staff member will provide IT and networking support.

The CRC is a not for profit incorporated organisation. One of the effects of the level of local activity has been less availability of volunteers, and more difficulty recruiting staff. If the planned improvements do not have the desired effect, a last resort is bringing the CRC in-house making it a Shire operated service. This is seen as a last resort but we cannot afford to see these services lost to the community.

Voting Requirements:
Absolute Majority

Officers Recommendation:

1. That Council allocate $20,000 in its 2011-12 budget for Community Resource Centre support.
2. That the CEO be authorised to pay the allocation to the CRC immediately.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION

Moved: Cr LC Butler Seconded: RP Desmond
1. That Council allocate $20,000 in its 2011-12 budget for Community Resource Centre support.
2. That the CEO be authorised to pay the allocation to the CRC immediately.

Carried: 5/0
Executive Summary:

It is a condition of the Royalties for Regions Country Local Government Fund that Local Governments maintain a 5 Year Forward Capital Works Plan.

Applicants Submission:

This revision of the Shire of Perenjori Forward Capital Works Plan (FCWP) provides for the Shire of Perenjori’s expenditure on asset renewals, expansions and upgrades and any new assets over the next five years. It has been reviewed as part of the development of the 2011-12 Budget to take account of:

- Increase in the Shire of Perenjori allocation under the Country Local Government Fund;
- Further development of concepts and costing for planned and future projects;
- Changed circumstances that affect the priority rating of particular projects;
- Extending the plan by an additional year; and
- Presentation changes to the tabulation to make the information clearer, and to make the table easier to understand as a stand-alone document.
## Existing Projects from FCWP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Roads Reseal and Renewal Program</td>
<td>Remains a high priority for ongoing investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>Disaster Relief Facilities</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>Perenjori Environment Centre</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>Business Incubator</td>
<td>Is now a multi-stage project based on advice from the Shire’s architect. One stage funded in 2010-11 (to complete in 2011-12). Additional stages identified for 2013-14 and 14-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Aerodrome</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>Staff Housing</td>
<td>Timing modified to meeting funding availability. With 3 houses scheduled for completion in 11-12 additional housing has been scheduled for one house in 13-14, and two additional houses in 15-16.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>Caravan Park Upgrade</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>Perenjori Sports Club</td>
<td>With an allocation this year renewal is largely complete.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>Latham Sports Club</td>
<td>Allocation adjusted down to reflect work required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>Show Grounds Entry Statements</td>
<td>Completed in 10-11.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>Sporting Facilities Renewals</td>
<td>This has been expanded to identify facilities individually. For additional clarity, especially for members of the public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>Skate Park Expansion</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>Playgrounds</td>
<td>Timing modified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>Anzac Park Upgrade</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>Redevelop Perenjori Hall</td>
<td>Changed to reflect changes in funding allocations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.16</td>
<td>Child Care Centre</td>
<td>The projected cost has changed following completion of project scope by consultant. Anticipated cost increased as has the scope of the project. Will now be described as Early Childhood Development Centre.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>Exploring Wildflower Country</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>Regional Drive Trail</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>Art Belt</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.20 Storm Water Harvesting

No longer funded. The Shire has gained access to a water allocation from a mining project which will meet projected non-potable water needs including any expansion. Funding transferred to pipeline and storage for non-potable water.

Modified Projects from FCWP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sporting Facilities Renewals</th>
<th>This has been expanded to identify facilities individually. For additional clarity, especially for members of the public. This allows people to see when work is scheduled on particular facilities. The new Projects previously aggregated under this heading are:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Perenjori Oval &amp; Hockey Ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Perenjori Netball Tennis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Perenjori Bowling Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Latham Bowling Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Latham Tennis Courts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Perenjori Sports Pavilion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child Care Centre</th>
<th>The projected cost has changed following completion of project scope by consultant. Anticipated cost increased as has the scope of the project. Will now be described as Early Childhood Development Centre, and now includes provision for a range of collocated early childhood service in addition to child care. The centre will be built adjacent to the Primary School. The proposed additional services are:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Early childhood education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Allied medical services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Child health services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Playgroup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Visiting professionals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Parenting support services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Family support services.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New Projects not included in the original FCWP

| Water Pipeline / Storage | The Shire has gained access to a water allocation from a mining project which will meet projected non-potable water needs including any expansion. Funding redirected to pipeline and storage for non-potable water, replacing the stormwater harvesting originally included in the FCWP. |
| Caron Dam | Caron Dam is a covered water storage that was originally developed as a railway dam, and has been vested in the Shire. The corrugated iron roof and support structure is in need of repair and renewal. Caron Dam is a reliable source of water for road works and is also a popular tourist spot. This project was overlooked during the preparation of the original FCWP. |

**Background:**
The Shire is relying on CLGF to part fund the sporting facilities upgrades. Funds will not be released until the endorsement of the new guidelines by cabinet, expected late July. We want to ensure that there are no barriers to the release of funding.

**Statutory Environment:**
*Local Government Act 1995 S3.1* – the general Function of Local Government is to provide for the good government of people in its district.

**Policy Implications:**
Nil

**Financial Implications:**
The Shire’s allocation has increased from an anticipated $329,000 to an actual allocation of $377,000 for 2011/12.

**Strategic Implications:**
It is anticipated that the plan would be reviewed in its entirety following the adoption of a new Strategic Community Plan early in 2012.

**Consultation:**
The plan reflects adoption of the concept plans for the old depot and the consultant’s report for the child development centre.

**Comment:**
The development of the Strategic Community Plan later this year will involve extensive community consultation and involvement. The present review of the FCWP is to respond to the new information and hand and give council the opportunity to endorse the changes that have evolved. There have been some cosmetic changes to make the document more user friendly and easier to discuss with the community.

**Voting Requirements:**
Simple Majority
Officers Recommendation:
That Council approved the Forward Capital Works Plan 2011-12 Revision.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION
Moved: Cr RP Desmond  Seconded: Cr JR Cunningham
That Council approved the Forward Capital Works Plan 2011-12 Revision an include an additional allocation of S $400 000 in 2013/14 for Aged Care
Carried: 5/0

2.54pm – Chairman Cr Chris King adjourned the meeting
3.20 – Chairman Cr Chris King reopened the meeting
Item 11075.12 2011/12 Draft Budget and 11076.4.1 Blue Hills Proposal addressed

11076.5  MATTERS BEHIND CLOSED DOORS

11076.6  DATE OF NEXT MEETING / MEETINGS
The next Ordinary Council Meeting will be held on the 18th August 2011.

11076.7  CLOSURE

With no further business the Ordinary Council Meeting was closed by Cr Chris King at 6.01pm.

I certify that this copy of the Minutes is a true and correct record of the meeting held 21st July 2011
Signed: __________________
Presiding Elected Member
Date: __________________